

The Port of Seattle Commission.

START OF TRANSCRIPT

[00:00:28] Let's go ahead and get started. [00:00:32] Good morning. This is Commission President Stephanie Bowman calling to order the special [00:00:35] meeting of October 8th. [00:00:37] Twenty nineteen the time is 9:20 with me. [00:00:40] We are meeting the commission chambers at Pier 69 present. 100:00:441 With me today are commissioners Caulkins, Gregoire, Fellowmen and Steinbruck. [00:00:49] This meeting is being digitally recorded and may be viewed or heard at any time on the [00:00:53] port's Web site and may be rebroadcast by King County Television. [00:00:57] Please join me in standing for the Pledge of Allegiance. [00:01:07] To the republic for which it stands a nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and [00:01:14] justice for all. All right, thank you, I'm in turnover's Steve. [00:01:21] We'll do a focus on our budgets this morning. [00:01:24] Morning, commissioners. Today we continue a series of presentations on a proposed 2020 [00:01:28] budget. At the last meeting, we presented the proposed Central Services Budget. [00:01:33] And this morning we'll be presenting the aviation division operating and capital budgets, [00:01:37] along with the Maritime and Economic Development Division's capital investment plan as [00:01:41] well. After this presentation, during this afternoon's regular session, we will present [00:01:46] the Maritime and Economic Development Division's operating budgets. [00:01:50] As I mentioned during the last meeting, the proposed 2020 budget is aligned with the [00:01:54] port's strategies, is fiscally responsible, and it is responsive to the region's needs. [00:02:00] The OP operating but division budgets that you'll be reviewing today are driven by a [00:02:03] focus on sustainability. [00:02:05] Innovation. Customer service. [00:02:07] Safety and security. [00:02:09] Organizational effectiveness and equity. [00:02:12] The capital investment plan with some which amounts to more than 3 billion dollars over [00:02:16] the next five years, continues our commitment to investing in regional infrastructure, to [00:02:20] grow our transportation gateways, create jobs and stimulate economic development. [00:02:26] Finally, you'll see in this morning's CFP presentations implementation of our new [00:02:30] financial policy on sea IP reserves, using a common terminology and elimination of the [00:02:36] strategic reserve that has been in place for the past several years. [00:02:39] And this is at the commission's request for asking us to review this. [00:02:43] So you'll see the results of that in practice as we present the budget this morning. [00:02:49] We will also be providing a briefing on our updated financial policies during this [00:02:53] afternoon's regular session as well. [00:02:55] And with that, I don't want to delay. [00:02:56] I'm going to turn it over to the managing director of the airport, Lance Little, in [00:03:01] Borgen Anderson. Thank you, Steve. [00:03:05] Good morning, commissioners commissioners' this morning we'll be presenting the 2020 [00:03:09] preliminary budget. [00:03:10] I see said we'll go both over the operation as well. [00:03:13] As well as the capital budget this morning, we can go into a lot of details or we can [00:03:18] keep it at high levels as you would like. [00:03:22] One of the things you to except one things I've always said is that for us, the budget is [00:03:27] a means to an end. [00:03:28] It is not the end state. [00:03:29] And so it's very important that we understand the context in which the budget has been [00:03:33] done. You guys, I've seen this side before. [00:03:36] Just spend one minute just going back through it. [00:03:39] We have everything again emanates from the center agenda. [00:03:43] We have gone through a vision in session. [00:03:45] We we have depicted exactly what we want the airport to look like five, 10, 15 years down [00:03:53] the road. We have established specific metrics, smart goals, long term goals. [00:03:59] We also understand that we do not operate within a vacuum right there. [00:04:03] There are forces out there that can prevent us from achieving our goals. [00:04:07] And so that's why we go through a SWOT analysis. [00:04:09] We look at our internal strengths and weaknesses. [00:04:11] We look at our external operate opportunities and threats that exist. [00:04:17] The goals that we have set are are really ambitious. [00:04:20] So we do a gap analysis. [00:04:21] We look at where we are now versus where we're trying to get to.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:04:27] What we come up with are the strategies that we need to eliminate those gaps. [00:04:30] So those are the strategies that we that we have established. [00:04:34] That is a strategic part of the process for us. [00:04:36] Then we have the implementation part. [00:04:38] That's where the rubber meets the road. [00:04:40] That's where we actually do our budget. [00:04:42] Sorry, our business plan. [00:04:44] So the business plan is all the activities that we're going to get done in a aparticular [00:04:47] year in order to realize our objectives, realize or goals, business plan activities have [00:04:53] costs associated with it, has equipment that's required to to get the activities done. [00:05:00] And we need people. [00:05:01] Right. So those three components actually are what we use to develop our budget. [00:05:05] So that's all we get to the budget process and we take it all the way down to our [00:05:10] performance reviews. [00:05:11] So the activities in our business plan are actually on our performance plans for all of [00:05:16] four team members. [00:05:17] And so that's all we get alignment where everybody in the organization, their performance [00:05:21] plan is actually aligned with the business plan and the budget and aligned with the [00:05:25] strategy and the mission and the vision of the organization. [00:05:28] So that, in a nutshell, is the exhaustive budgeting process that we go through next night [00:05:34] bargain. So these are some of the top priorities that we have in the organization. [00:05:39] They're in no specific order. [00:05:43] Of course, security is extremely important to us. [00:05:46] The bad guys only need to get it right once we have to get it right all the time. [00:05:51] And so zero discrepancy, zero breaches is extremely important to a safety is also [00:05:56] important. We want to make sure 100 percent to our employees. [00:05:59] And when we say our employees, we're not just talking about port employees, everybody who [00:06:03] works at the airport. Hundred percent of our employees go home safely everyday. [00:06:08] Innovation is the answer. [00:06:10] Can I just interview you first? I'm just here. [00:06:12] I know a few of us have hard copies of this to the other commissioners that don't have [00:06:16] them need them. [00:06:19] The screen is not displaying the full o levels. [00:06:25] Ok. Just want to make sure that we're all focused on this. [00:06:28] OK, great. OK. [00:06:29] Terrific. Thank you. Sorry. [00:06:31] Continue innovation. Innovation is extremely important to us. [00:06:35] Commission is, I think with the goals that we have, with the continued growth, with a [00:06:38] limitation and the restriction that we have, we have to basically innovate our way onto [00:06:43] the infinite that we're trying to get to. [00:06:45] And I see Dave Wilson here who leads our Innovations program, working very closely with [00:06:49] the rest of the port. We have we've really come up with some great ideas to achieve, to [00:06:54] achieve our goals and our customer service. [00:06:57] What you'll see during in the presentation, we're going to probably hit the 4 percent [00:07:02] growth target this year and next year we're going to see a 3 percent growth. [00:07:05] So the airport continues to grow. [00:07:07] And again, even with the with the the limited footprint that we have and on the [00:07:12] restriction then challenges that we have, we are still trying to maintain a level of [00:07:16] service, what we call optimal. [00:07:17] And so the budget actually that bargain is going to be present in is geared towards [00:07:21] keeping that level of service at the optimum level. [00:07:25] Community engagement is extremely important to us. [00:07:29] You will see. Excuse me. [00:07:31] Yes. I mean, I understand optimal is sort of within the constraints of where we are. [00:07:36] Right. So I think when you say maintain optimal, what you showed previously was that our [00:07:42] goal was to get to something above where we are. [00:07:45] So the term optimal is really relative to where we are in the situation. [00:07:49] Yeah. Where we're well, optimize what was previously called level of service. [00:07:52] See there? That level of service. [00:07:53] ABC, D-N.D [00:07:55] and CS, where we're trying to get to the level of service you see are optimal is where [00:08:02] we're trying to get to the there's some facilities in the airport that are actually [00:08:08] functioning at that level.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:08:09] If you go to the north satellite as an example, that would be an example. [00:08:13] The new section of the north satellite, that would be an example of a facility that that [00:08:17] level of service. See if you go to most other places in the airport, we're not there yet. [00:08:23] Term concourse A during maybe the non-peak period, it would be at level of services. [00:08:27] So the overall objective is to get to a level of service see throughout the entire [00:08:31] airport. So when the naht when they internation on arrival facility is completed, that [00:08:35] will be at a level of service. [00:08:37] And that's measured from like airport drive. [00:08:40] Right. There are several metrics that's there at a space that you have between [00:08:44] individual, the time that it takes to get through the security checkpoint, the time that [00:08:47] it will take to get through the international arrivals process. [00:08:51] There are various different metrics that you use to to determine what level of he is. [00:08:55] But doesn't it start at airport drive? [00:08:58] Yeah. So that the time that it takes to get up to the driver and get through the occur to [00:09:01] check what would be a part of that metric as well. [00:09:04] But it's not just on the line side is in the terminal and it's on the other side. [00:09:08] I just want the public to understand that this is obviously where they feel the and it's [00:09:12] gonna take us a while to get there. [00:09:14] And as I said, we will have some facilities that are our right level of service see [00:09:18] before others. [00:09:19] Again, the Nahed satellite was probably at a E-R somewhere. [00:09:24] A law? No, it's. Once we have done the construction is we consider that a level of [00:09:28] services' or FISA is definitely nowhere near our level of service right now. [00:09:33] But once we are completed that next year, then it will be at that level of service. [00:09:37] You know, we should take this up on a level I know as it's my eighth or seventh, I don't [00:09:42] know, budget cycle. [00:09:44] One thing I think is missing when we do a presentation like this. [00:09:47] I go back your slide and you talk about doing, as I'll call it, the strengths. [00:09:50] weaknesses, opportunities, threats, the SWOT analysis every five to 10 years, given the [00:09:55] growth that's going on at the airport. [00:09:56] The reality is we're doing a SWOT analysis continuously. [00:10:00] I do think having that as a conversation starter when we talk about the budget is the [00:10:04] best way to frame not just for commissioners, but for the public. [00:10:07] What we're talking about. [00:10:09] I look at your strategic priorities and I like this sounds very positive. [00:10:13] I'm looking at Mr. phelan's question, which is what's the problem we're trying to solve? [00:10:18] What's the pressing threat in terms of whether it's congestion or throughput? [00:10:23] I think we have to be honest when we think about the budget, that it's not just our [00:10:27] vision board, which sounds very happy. [00:10:30] It is how we're doing, a constant SWOT analysis. [00:10:33] Given the way that we are fiscally responsible with the limited resources to manage a [00:10:38] booming airport. So I looked at these materials. [00:10:41] I admit that I think they were career to my house last night, looked at these materials [00:10:46] in the middle of the night, but I said to myself, we got to be honest, as we have this [00:10:49] budget conversation, I don't want to be unhappy talk. [00:10:52] Economically, that's where we are as a region. [00:10:55] But that's not where we are in terms of operating a booming airport. [00:10:58] And so I want to make sure we would be candidates. [00:11:01] We talked with schematics. Yeah. So just this one one correction, commissioner, we don't [00:11:05] do the SWOT analysis every 5 to 10 years. [00:11:08] The vision is for five to 10 years. [00:11:10] We actually review or a SWOT analysis every year. [00:11:12] In fact, when we presented the last time on the budget, we actually went through the [00:11:16] strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats. [00:11:19] If you'll recall, we went through all of them. [00:11:21] So we actually review the SWOT every year. [00:11:24] It was the June presentation. [00:11:26] Yeah. So, yeah, if the arrow actually points to the vision goals, the five to 10 years, [00:11:35] that's what five to ten year references is the vision. [00:11:39] Yeah, well it's we are it's part of it's part of the whole strategic planning process. [00:11:44] So we do a extensive SWOT analysis, but we've reviewed every year. [00:11:50] Yeah. And I think that's a great view when we talk about.

[00:11:53] But then you continuously doing that's part of operations and continually doing a SWOT



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:11:57] analysis based on information that comes in in situations that develop. [00:12:00] So it's nonstop. And as Morgan said, we've presented on it in June, we can present it [00:12:04] again. So you can see the specifics there. [00:12:09] Yeah. You have very good point. [00:12:12] Very good point. So I guess in terms of the prioritization, I mean, I know we're trying [00:12:18] to lift the whole boat, so to speak, but it seems a prioritization for budget like there [00:12:24] are known choke points that will significantly improve like that component to customer [00:12:30] service. And I just wondering, I understand our obligation as to the overall airport, but [00:12:35] if you could help us identify those things, that would be significant alleviation for [00:12:42] congestion with priority that would help in terms of understanding your broad perspective [00:12:49] on the airport's challenges for the public. [00:12:52] Yeah. And if I guess it's probably you'll see in the appendix or we can go through it in [00:12:57] detail when we go through the budget. [00:12:58] They are what we call you call them choke points. [00:13:00] We call them hotspots throughout the airport, for example, that if I was during peak is a [00:13:05] major hotspot. The curb during certain times of the day, that's a major hotspot. [00:13:09] The security checkpoint always is a major hotspot where the chances are right there. [00:13:16] The Oberoi left wings during certain time hotspot gone transition. [00:13:19] So I've identified all of those hotspots. [00:13:21] That's one of the reason last year on year before last, we asked for and got commission [00:13:26] approval to have on call contractors that could actually help us to manage those [00:13:31] hotspots. There are some longer term projects such as the roadway realignment exit exit. [00:13:37] That will resolve some of those issues. [00:13:39] But in the meantime, we have to use operational means and we have to use people to [00:13:43] actually alleviate some of those problems. [00:13:45] So that's in the budget and you'll see some other requests. [00:13:47] I just ask that you highlight those. [00:13:49] Okay. And I'll do that as we go through it. [00:13:50] Yeah, we'll do that. Thank you, sir. [00:13:52] Lindesay, just one thing and then I know we need to move on because we have a lot of [00:13:55] slides to get through. But I'd appreciate. [00:13:58] I didn't see in the presentation or even in the appendix where we are with getting to [00:14:03] these goals. And so, for example, where are we with a askew score? [00:14:08] The part 1 50, those sorts of things kind of a scorecard. [00:14:12] And I appreciate the goal. [00:14:13] But where are we year to year in trying to reach those measurements? [00:14:17] That would be really helpful information. [00:14:18] Yeah. And we actually have that. [00:14:19] We do our quarterly review at the airport so we can provide that information are we can [00:14:23] do a special presentation. [00:14:24] This was really focused on the 2020 developing the 2020 budget. [00:14:28] But we can provide that as we're allocating resources to what? [00:14:31] And does that keep us closer to the goals that you've established? [00:14:34] Okay. We can do that. [00:14:35] These are the things we're trying. I guess I'm going to. [00:14:37] Steve, we can't separate these. [00:14:39] I'm sorry if you think we've got the airport scorecard somewhere tucked into this brain. [00:14:43] I don't have it to look at and compare it to the budgets or the SWOT analysis. [00:14:48] We've got to think about it when we're doing the budgets with those strategic elements in [00:14:52] mind. Yeah, good idea is that there in the budget cycle we process for our presentation, [00:14:58] we actually do our presentation and update on where we are with the goals. [00:15:01] That would be a good touch and we can do that. [00:15:06] All right, slide five just before slide five is a dead horse, I guess. [00:15:14] Yes, I'm sorry. Sorry. [00:15:16] Just a few things I wanted to highlight that you're going to see in the presentation that [00:15:21] bargain you're going to see that we have a significant increase in our operating [00:15:25] revenues, which is very important. [00:15:27] But also even more important, you're just going to see a moderate increase in our [00:15:30] operating expenses, which is very good. [00:15:32] The team read it at a great job in trying to keep this budget as lean as possible with [00:15:36] that little fluff as possible. [00:15:38] You're going to see request for FTD. [00:15:41] The number may seem significant, but you'll see that it's in line with what we requested.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:15:45] What we say we would request over the last three years as well.	
[00:15:49] You're going to see some innovation and some risk taken as part of this budget with	
[00:15:54] vacants. In fact, Rick Santorum.	
[00:15:55] Bachmann is going to talk about that.	
[00:15:57] And on the capital budget side, the CHP, currently there are some major projects that's	
[00:16:03] under review, that's in preliminary phases.	
[00:16:07] That's not in the CHP as well.	
[00:16:10] And these are going to be some significant project where they're not in the CHP	
[00:16:14] currently. So with that, how they handle it.	
00:16:16] I think one of the large areas of savings seems to be in the environmental remediation	
00:16:21 liability expense at the minus 81 percent situation.	
[00:16:25] And I just see in the list here that the work we do on habitat and storm water are not	
[00:16:31] listed amongst those things.	
[00:16:32] So I'm just wondering.	
[00:16:34] That's a great savings.	
[00:16:35] I'm just looking at what expense we're going to want to touch on that.	
[00:16:39] Sure. OK. Why don't I turn to the overall summary and I'll address that question as I	
[00:16:43] move down through the slide.	
[00:16:45] I'll just say that, as Lance indicated, I'm very pleased to present this 2020 budget.	
[00:16:49] And I would say that we are really planning for some favorable results and I'll try to	
[00:16:54] articulate them here on the first slide and then subsequent slides will go into the	
[00:16:58] details. So starting with revenues, we are planning for revenues to be up almost 10	
[00:17:03] percent next year and costs growing at only 3.3	
[00:17:05] percent. The revenue side just to show more detail on this, but normally we try not to	
[00:17:13] grow the aeronautical revenue.	
[00:17:13] grow the aeronadical revenue. [00:17:14] That means our line costs are going up 9.9	
[00:17:14] That means out line costs are going up 9.9 [00:17:17] percent. Is there any of that?	
[00:17:20] Only 4.7	
[00:17:21] percent is actually rate base revenues. [00:17:24] The other half is the reduction in revenue sharing and also the increase in the	
[00:17:24] The other hair is the reduction in revenue sharing and also the increase in the [00:17:29] commercial area, which is a separate cost center.	
[00:17:32] So the the airline revenue is forgive me.	
[00:17:34] Let's just pretend for a second that we don't all know what revenue sharing is because	
[00:17:38] that helps explain. All right.	
[00:17:40] Revenue sharing is something in our airline lease agreement whereby we would credit the	
[00:17:46] airlines with 50 percent excuse me, 50 percent in twenty nineteen or twenty nineteen.	
[00:17:52] It was 20 percent of the debt service coverage above one hundred and twenty five percent.	
[00:17:58] So that in this agreement it was 40 percent in twenty eighteen.	
[00:18:03] Twenty percent in nineteen.	
[00:18:05] And it's zero percent in 2020 and 21 in 2022.	
[00:18:10] That was being phased out in this agreement.	
[00:18:12] We'll see the implications of that.	
[00:18:14] Is it would you call it like a rebate.	
[00:18:17] Because I mean their their businesses are generating the revenues, of course, at least	
[00:18:24] part of them. They're not on the aviation side and we're giving some of it back.	
[00:18:31] I've always had a heart. It includes ADR parking.	
[00:18:34] Oh, yeah. Most of their revenue is all the non aeronautical side.	
[00:18:38] It's based on all of the revenue.	
[00:18:40] It's all revenue. But most of what just generated is an honor.	
[00:18:45] Could you just for the benefit of the public that may be watching, why we why we have	
[00:18:53] this revenue sharing when we have billions of dollars of potential added costs of	
[00:19:00] construction, capital, etc	
[00:19:02] I'll say in the previous agreement, which ran from 2013 to 2017, the revenue share was 50	
[00:19:09] percent and it was that every year and that was just a negotiated agreement.	
[00:19:15] The at the time it seemed like the prudent thing to do because we were attempting to	
[00:19:20] manage down the cost per employment and that was one of the tools we had at our disposal	
[00:19:25] to do that, as at least some of you will remember when we got into the more recent lease	
[00:19:30] agreement negotiations.	
[00:19:32] One of the things we wanted to do was position ourselves to be able to afford the capital	
[00:19:38] program that we knew was coming.	
[00:19:40] And so that's why in this agreement it was being phased out for the very reasons you	
[00:19:44] cite. We want to retain that cash to help us fund the future capital program.	
[00:19:49] And we'll we'll see how big that capital program is getting here in this subsequent	



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:19:53] slides. And if I want to, though. [00:19:56] So it's that's entirely understandable. [00:19:59] But why did we have it in the first place as what I don't understand. [00:20:02] I think you have to do the calculation as CPE to. [00:20:06] Yeah, it's. Yeah. [00:20:08] I mean, if I wasn't here at the time, but at other airports, because of the recession and [00:20:14] the downturn and the struggles that the airlines were going through, there were several [00:20:18] airports that actually negotiated revenue sharing agreements with the airlines. [00:20:22] The airlines are booming now. [00:20:24] So we don't think we need a matric. [00:20:26] That's the reason why. [00:20:27] But I know there that's what happened at other airports and maybe they did. [00:20:31] My liberal arts version, if that's for one second, is, you know, the cost per employment [00:20:37] is the right way to think about the cost it takes to a traveler to travel. [00:20:41] Right. I mean, there is a correlation, if not causation. [00:20:44] I'm going to go with that. But that's the control that we have. [00:20:47] So if you wanna make sure you have service during an economic recession at an airport so [00:20:51] that our community can go visit family or travel for business, you need to think that was [00:20:55] one of the levers. But then also the cost per employment. [00:20:58] Without that, the cost to fly might be prohibitive for those middle class or lower [00:21:05] economic scale. So those are both the levers. [00:21:08] But I think it was appropriate and really sound. [00:21:10] And the team gets your credit to say, OK, in an economic boom, let's be thinking about [00:21:15] where that where those dollars are and that we're thinking about the overall cost of of [00:21:19] operating the airport. But I think that's the tradeoff. [00:21:22] If that's that we need to be thinking about it. [00:21:24] As you manage that at this point, we're at the right policy in terms of zero percent [00:21:29] revenue sharing. I just think if future slides, you could show that it's a dynamic thing [00:21:35] depending on where the airport is in its growth and relative to other airports. [00:21:39] Right. It's when the revenues needs change over time. [00:21:43] And so I think your future slides help explain the dynamic, why during those cycles it [00:21:49] changes. I think Mr. [00:21:50] Caulkins is trying to jump in here. [00:21:52] Did you know? Ok, onto the second line item non aired, non aeronautical revenues up 9.1 [00:22:01] percent. I'll talk about this a little more, but this is really important because this is [00:22:04] the cash that's going to pay for much of our improvements going forward on the operating [00:22:09] cost side. We look at the airport direct cost, the environmental remediation liability [00:22:14] expense and charges from other divisions. [00:22:17] On a net basis, we're growing at 3.3 [00:22:19] percent. And as you pointed out, the environmental remediation liability expense is going [00:22:23] down. Let me so let me tell you what that is. [00:22:25] That is primarily the costs associated with asbestos and dirty dirt that we have at the [00:22:31] north satellite in the south satellite projects. [00:22:33] And 20 19 was a peak peak year for the encumbrance of those costs. [00:22:38] And so as we go into 2020 and every year we look at what projects are out there, what [00:22:44] costs are likely to be triggered that we have to recognize. [00:22:48] And so it is really something we end up having to do because of the projects we're doing. [00:22:53] And so this is really a reflection of the fact that we're getting back to a a down year. [00:22:57] You can look at that line item. [00:22:59] Since 2017, it was eight point eight. [00:23:01] It was four. It was six spiked up and now it's dropping back down. [00:23:05] It all has to do with the nature of the projects we're doing at a given point in time. [00:23:10] North satellite and s satellite, both very old facilities. [00:23:13] You get into them, you have asbestos. [00:23:15] It's very expensive to remediate. [00:23:17] And that's where we have to recognize that liability. [00:23:20] I'm just concerned with the with the if we finally get to the fire station that there [00:23:24] were areas in there where we are practicing foam throwing, I believe that there may be [00:23:30] some maybe less than unexpected challenges about that. [00:23:34] There may be. Keep going. [00:23:43] All right, so dropping down with revenues are growing almost 10 percent in costs at 3.3 [00:23:48] percent. The net result is a 19 percent growth in net operating income.

[00:23:54] When we look at some of the key measures down below cost print placement growing to \$13



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:23:58] dollars. 93 cents up from our forecast for this year of 13 07. [00:24:04] You know, this is pretty much in line with our expectations. [00:24:06] When we did the bond issue, we said we'd have S.P. [00:24:08] of thirteen ninety four for 2020. [00:24:11] So we're very much in line with the forecast. [00:24:13] And and when we look at non aeronautical net operating income, this is a subset of our [00:24:19] overall net operating income. [00:24:21] But again, it shows that where we're growing revenues faster than the expenses on the non [00:24:25] aeronautical side, passenger growth or what's listed here is in plain passenger growth, [00:24:30] which is of course half of our total passengers. [00:24:33] It shows 5 percent. [00:24:34] This is a budget to budget comparison. [00:24:35] We're actually planning to grow 3 percent next year over our forecast this year, which is [00:24:40] slightly higher than the budget from a capital budget standpoint. [00:24:44] We're proposing to spend five hundred and seventy million. [00:24:47] This is down a little bit from this year, but still represents a very robust level of [00:24:51] spending and reflects the fact that we will be completing the international arrivals [00:24:56] facility moving full into Phase 2 of the north north satellite and a number of other [00:25:02] projects which we'll see. [00:25:04] So overall, yeah. [00:25:05] Yeah. On the capital side, that that five hundred and seventy million reflects mostly [00:25:12] projects that are already underway or so they include new projects yet to be built. [00:25:18] It includes some new projects that have not yet been authorized. [00:25:22] Most of the new projects won't cede much in the way of spending in 2020, but we will [00:25:27] highlight those in a later slide. [00:25:29] So my my question regarding the not yet authorized capital projects, if you are including [00:25:38] a place holder for a commission proposed restoration of the percent for art to 1 percent. [00:25:46] In your future capital projects. I would say each project that comes forward will have [00:25:51] that included in its budget. [00:25:54] New each new project, correct. [00:25:56] OK, that's all I have. [00:25:57] Thank you. Quick question for you about that yet, but that's obviously in the works and [00:26:03] they're under review. [00:26:04] So. Right, I think the difference between a half a percent and a 1 percent when we're [00:26:09] looking at this rough level of early cost estimates, that's that's doable if you choose [00:26:14] to approve that. So a couple of weeks ago, we had the news that Thomas Cook was closing [00:26:21] precipitously, which includes their seasonal flights, and here at Sea-Tac, we're also [00:26:28] seeing reports now that there's a couple of other airlines that are on the cusp and that [00:26:33] in the event of a recession, which I think is becoming increasingly likely, those [00:26:37] airlines are probably going to tip into bankruptcy or closure. [00:26:41] Have we anticipate in our aeronautical revenues any. [00:26:46] Concerns around certain rounds going away and then secondarily in conversation before [00:26:52] this meeting, we talked about the non-canonical revenue side and why you're so bullish. [00:26:57] We're coming out of a year in which we're at about 3 percent growth, we're forecasting 9 [00:27:01] percent growth. If you could explain a bit why we're bullish on that number. [00:27:06] Well, let me start and then work on this. [00:27:08] I've spoken to Cosway from our air service development team on it. [00:27:11] We're still doing the analysis. [00:27:13] The preliminary feedback that we have right now is that with Thomas Cook, it's not him. [00:27:19] There were seasonal condor is still here. [00:27:22] They're gonna still continue service. [00:27:24] The other airlines that you mentioned that are in jeopardy, they're all in Europe. [00:27:27] We don't see any of the mainline airlines here in the in the USA being threatened right [00:27:33] now. So right now, we don't see a significant impact. [00:27:37] Now, if there is a recession, you know, we don't know everything is at play. [00:27:40] But for now, with Thomas Cook out, we don't see it as a as a major impact on our [00:27:45] revenues, primarily, again, because there were seasonal. [00:27:47] And the fact that Condor got a bailout from the German government, they're still going to [00:27:52] be flying as well. [00:27:54] I'm sure, you know, I'll give a quick summary then of the non aeronautical revenues and [00:27:59] we'll we'll see we'll see some of the details later. [00:28:01] But primarily our largest revenue source is public parking and we implemented a parking [00:28:06] rate increase in July of this year.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:28:09] So we're going to see the full effect of that next year. [00:28:11] And our experience has been that that does result in a significant increase of revenue. [00:28:16] There may be some fall off in demand, but we expect a lot of that to drop to the bottom [00:28:20] line. The other thing that's going on is we are we're starting to see the very solid [00:28:26] results from the new airport dining and retail outlets that have an opening before we are [00:28:30] kind of looking at forecasts in their original performance. [00:28:33] Now we're starting to see some of the results. [00:28:35] And we we still we will. [00:28:37] We do anticipate seeing some some strong revenue growth there. [00:28:41] And the third area is we continue to see growth in the transportation network companies [00:28:45] offsetting some reductions in taxi revenue. [00:28:49] So that's that's been a growth area for us as well. [00:28:51] The port lounges has been very strong up into this point. [00:28:54] We see that flattening out a little bit next year. [00:28:56] But, you know, overall, it's we expect to see some some good solid growth next year. [00:29:03] And so we're not forecasting a recession next year. [00:29:07] If if something like that happens, I would expect to see a reduction in summer in certain [00:29:12] areas and we would probably reduce all of our expenditures as well. [00:29:15] And we try to manage to that bottom line. [00:29:19] All right. Are the AVR revenues, assuming that we're going to be doing this opportunity [00:29:27] to dine at the airport, even though you're not flying? [00:29:31] Getting through security? [00:29:33] I guess I'm just I've always wondered about this proposed idea. [00:29:37] Given the challenges we have of getting flyers through security. [00:29:40] And so I'm just wanting whether this new source of revenue is baked into this, the [00:29:46] numbers. And so we did the pilot and then the pilot. [00:29:49] We allowed 50 people per day to go through, I think after that way to increase it to. [00:29:54] I think a hundred. The plan is to to relook at starting that. [00:29:58] I think in November. [00:29:59] We're not sure exactly how many people we're going to allow, but the numbers are really [00:30:04] small at this point. [00:30:06] The purpose was of doing this was not driven by revenues. [00:30:12] It was more driven by getting the community actually involved in the airport and trying [00:30:18] to get back to pre 9/11 mode of operation where people could actually come to the airport [00:30:24] with their loved one, go through and say hi and buy our greet them at the gate. [00:30:28] That's what drives it. [00:30:29] If we make revenues from it, that's kind of gravy. [00:30:33] But it wasn't a revenue driven initiative. [00:30:36] It was more a community getting the community to be a part of the airport initiative. [00:30:43] Ok. This slide is what we call the the waterfall, if you will. [00:30:50] It really is a visual representation of the of the process we go through in developing [00:30:55] the budget. And it really reflects how we've attempted to implement Steve's guidance to [00:31:01] really have a zero base budget mentality as we go through our budget process. [00:31:05] So this this page here focuses on just the the airport costs are our total costs [00:31:11] obviously include costs that come in from other divisions. [00:31:14] But this reflects what we were looking at as we went through our budget process. [00:31:17] So the top half really represents how we look at our existing budget and our existing [00:31:23] expenditures and how we manage that. [00:31:26] And so the first item we read we do is we remove everything that is a non-recurring [00:31:32] recurring item from the twenty nineteen budget and that totaled \$22 million. [00:31:37] Then the environmental remediation liability costs. [00:31:40] They get pulled out because that would get starting from ground zero. [00:31:43] The following year we had a transfer mid-year. [00:31:47] The aviation project management crew has joined the airport from a division roll up. [00:31:52] So that's now included. [00:31:54] And then we had \$9.3 [00:31:56] million of cost reductions and savings. [00:31:59] And I'll show in a subsequent slide how we've actually eliminated some FTE this year and [00:32:03] that that produce savings of 1.8 [00:32:05] million. Then, as Lance had indicated, and as Dan Thomas it indicated a couple weeks ago, [00:32:11] we have also implemented a payroll vacancy factor and that is 3 percent and that amounts [00:32:17] to 4.3 million dollars for the aviation budget.

[00:32:21] So those are some of the major cost reductions and savings.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:32:24] Plus, we've gone through every department budget. [00:32:27] Look for areas where we haven't been spending the money and we pulled that out. [00:32:32] Then we have some charges to capital and then we have some cost increases in most of [00:32:37] this, 20 million in cost increases in payroll cost increases, the total over \$12 million [00:32:43] bringing in the project management group and their and their costs was another \$3 million [00:32:48] or so. So, you know, before we even started to make decisions on new items, that's where [00:32:54] we were at the middle there, 235 million at, which is down significantly from the twenty [00:33:00] nineteen budget of 259. [00:33:03] So then we go into our budget again. [00:33:04] Do you mind just helping me intellectually rationalize? [00:33:07] I think a lot of these reflect the change in capital management. [00:33:10] That makes sense that I was on your previous slide. [00:33:13] I was looking at total charges from other divisions growing by 9.3 [00:33:16] percent, which I would have expected to go down given the change in structure around [00:33:21] capital. Maybe I'm sort of like apples and oranges. [00:33:24] Good point. Slide five. [00:33:26] We have restated his aviation project management group was in the airport historically. [00:33:33] This slide shows it as a sort of a process where it gets added. [00:33:36] And so that's why the numbers don't quite match up. [00:33:40] Exactly. That's helpful to you. [00:33:42] Yep. Yep. [00:33:44] Yep. OK. All right. [00:33:47] So then when we get into our budget process. [00:33:49] I'm sorry. Can you just tell me what the acronym or the abbreviation M-80 Pastor vls is [00:33:56] that materials? [00:33:57] Sorry. Where are we? [00:33:59] Regulated mediation liability? [00:34:02] No. E r I environmental environmental remediation liability. [00:34:06] So that's that's regulated materials primarily. [00:34:10] Yeah. Just. [00:34:13] If there are acronyms and if I can't understand them, I imagine the public can't, so [00:34:17] that's what I was assuming. But OK. [00:34:19] Sorry, it's just an abbreviation. [00:34:23] Ok. so down below reflects the decision making process. [00:34:26] Towards the end of the budget process, we were evaluating new items. [00:34:30] And we've we've segregated those again between the non-recurring and the recurring. [00:34:34] And as I'll show on a subsequent slide, we've got proposed additions to baseline of seven [00:34:38] point nine million and proposed non-recurring editions of 23 million. [00:34:42] It's really breaking things out that way. [00:34:45] You know, my my approach to managing the long term growth in costs is to really focus on [00:34:50] the baseline costs of the non-recurring items or things we put in the budget. [00:34:54] One year we do them, we pull them out. [00:34:58] So that's that's how we've gone through this process. [00:35:00] And now show you that the summary of Mr. [00:35:03] Samak's get a question or answer. [00:35:05] And this is this is related to your summary. [00:35:07] So you're okay. I don't expect an immediate answer, but. [00:35:15] I think everybody's aware of the kind of exponential growth at the airport, and it [00:35:19] doesn't necessarily reassure travelers that, you know, that this growth, even though it [00:35:25] has all of its benefits for the economy and jobs and people continue to frequently [00:35:30] express their frustrations anecdotally with their travel experience at Sea-Tac. [00:35:37] And so from that standpoint, it would be good to know more precisely what we are doing [00:35:45] both operationally and with capital projects in the near term. [00:35:50] Not the same projects in the future, but in this five year CE IP and currently, how much [00:35:56] are we actually out spending in projects and operational improvements that improve [00:36:06] level of service, traveler comfort and safety? [00:36:10] And that could be anything from the baggage optimization to improvements in the central [00:36:15] terminal to new furniture, to wayfinding accessibility improvements, those kinds of [00:36:22] things. I think it would be really good to have some clarity around that so that where we [00:36:28] can tell the story a little better as to how we're responding, acknowledging the 100:36:341 challenges of airport facility was built for almost half of what we currently are are [00:36:41] managing in terms of passenger throughput. [00:36:45] And I would just say I know that many of those items are covered in the appendix is where



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:36:51] you and I. This is the first time that I saw it broken out that way where that said. [00:36:57] there's still several policy issues that I hope as we continue on that we can address. [00:37:01] One thing is obviously that I think Commissioner Steinbruck might be your friend as well [00:37:05] as hard standing and the issue that we're having around that. [00:37:08] And so as we go through the budget, we get a little bit deeper. [00:37:10] Love to understand some really specific challenges and how this budget would address [00:37:15] those. I know you've have quite a bit in the appendix and it's it's not always entirely [00:37:21] clear or self-evident what what projects and operational improvements actually are [00:37:26] serving those goals to to somebody who might be interested or and for us to be able to [00:37:33] communicate more effectively. [00:37:35] So what I'm looking for is a list and maybe you can say everything we're doing is working [00:37:41] toward those goals, obviously in total, but specific projects that we can refer to and [00:37:47] particularly the central terminal, because there's I think, a misperception out there [00:37:53] that we're not doing anything to improve our existing facilities, and that's just flat [00:37:56] out wrong. You're right. [00:37:58] There's a whole lot we're doing and we can we can hover. [00:38:02] Commission wants to do it. [00:38:03] We can actually provide that information. [00:38:05] Well, that's part of the budget. [00:38:06] Our special session. [00:38:07] And we we can do that. But that was my point up front about chokepoints. [00:38:11] I want actually more than just the laundry list, but the prioritization that you see as [00:38:16] being the most important for the investment to overcome, what you see are the primary [00:38:22] spots to make those in the context of all the other things that you're doing. [00:38:28] Ok. Well, when we get to the capital budget slides, we'll try to come back to that and [00:38:33] see if we don't address at least part of your question. [00:38:36] OK. So where are we here? [00:38:39] So this slide really represents our overall budget requests. [00:38:44] You can see at the very bottom we started last summer with one hundred and nineteen [00:38:49] budget requests totaling almost \$48 million. [00:38:53] Where we at what we're included in that would have been a one hundred and nineteen FTD. [00:38:58] What we're actually proposing now is one hundred and sixty four items. [00:39:02] Fifty six point five FTD and a total of \$31 million. [00:39:06] So one way of looking at that is we're proposing to approve sixty five percent of what [00:39:11] was originally approved and 40 percent 47 percent of the FTE that were originally [00:39:16] approved. Just a quick question, Varga, and thank you again. [00:39:22] We're called upon to defend these increases in F-D and how they're contributing to [00:39:28] improvements in service and experience. [00:39:31] I. It's my understanding from what you said that we've gone through a fairly stringent [00:39:37] scrubbing and lean process in identifying efficiencies in our our labor at the airport. [00:39:48] Could you elaborate on that a little bit as to how you have how this number has been [00:39:52] determined in terms of the increase in FTE? [00:39:56] I said what I'd like to do is pick that up on the next slide when we talk about FTD, if I [00:40:01] could. I'll just I'll try to be really brief here. [00:40:04] But what I wanted to do with this slide is just show all of our budget requests were [00:40:09] organized by the strategic priorities that Lance laid out at the outset. [00:40:13] And you can see both in the number of the requests, the number of FTD associated with [00:40:18] those and whether they've broken up between baseline and non-recurring. [00:40:21] You see the dollar. So the big items where we're focusing a lot of efforts are in the [00:40:25] facility planning and and asset management in capital projects. [00:40:30] That's the biggest area. [00:40:32] Secondary would be customer service and then third would be environment and [00:40:37] sustainability. So those are the areas where we're putting the most resources or most new [00:40:42] resources for for 2020. [00:40:47] You know, one of the things that we have done on purpose this year is that we have forced [00:40:54] ourselves to be very transparent about the we've achieved some savings and now we want to [00:41:00] add something back in. [00:41:01] Well, in prior years, some of this reallocation sort of happened and you wouldn't [00:41:05] necessarily see it. We purposely brought those out. [00:41:08] So you can see all the decisions we're making where we stop spending money over here and [00:41:12] now we're proposing to spend it here. [00:41:14] So that's why there's a lot more budget requests this year. [00:41:16] And again, that reflects our efforts to to deliver on what Steve Metric had guided us to



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:41:22] do. All right, so now we're going to take a look at FTD. [00:41:28] And I'd like to start on the box on the right side, which is the changes in FTD in twenty [00:41:33] nineteen. And again, we took the same kind of zero based budget approach to FTD as well [00:41:39] and end in 2019 before we added any new FTD. [00:41:44] We eliminated a number of positions and the the primary areas where we cut some of them [00:41:50] were just sort of business decisions running their course like we had the lost and found. [00:41:55] We had some FTD associated with that. [00:41:57] We set up a new service that is being handled elsewhere. [00:42:00] So it was after use were reduced. [00:42:02] Last year we we cut the budget, but retained the headcount for 16 FTE years in the [00:42:08] employee screening area. [00:42:09] That would've been the Phase 2 screening. [00:42:11] And then this year we identified an additional six after years and the phase one employee [00:42:16] screening that were just not needed. [00:42:18] So there's a total of 22 that were reduced for from security. [00:42:23] We also had some FTE emergency higher positions and maintenance that we identified that [00:42:29] were not needed. [00:42:30] And we had eight emergency hire Pathfinder's that we're now replacing with with full time [00:42:36] FTD. So in total, we reduced our FTE count by almost 43 then during the year. [00:42:43] We had some additions that just reflected some some business decisions that were already [00:42:47] happening. For example, we have an increase of 10 in the construction support [00:42:52] specialists. And this reflected the fact that in our in our budget these were 0.5f [00:42:57] to use, but we're actually working almost 40 hours a week. [00:43:00] So this reflects sort of right sizing them to for the amount of hours they're actually [00:43:05] working. We had some senior access controllers that we needed to add to the man, the exit [00:43:10] exit lanes at the security checkpoints. [00:43:14] This is something that the actually the construction support specialists had been doing [00:43:18] and it was a function that we had to find a proper permanent home for. [00:43:22] So we did that. There was a reorganization that Lance announced a few weeks ago that [00:43:27] added some 550 E's. [00:43:30] We added a couple EF2 used to help us manage the taxi contract. [00:43:33] And then we added at environmental position for the transportation management position. [00:43:38] So these are things that were added during twenty nineteen. [00:43:42] But as you can see in the box on the right, the minuses and the pluses still resulted in [00:43:46] a net reduction of fifteen point five before we got into the new budget request for 2015 [00:43:53] to 2020. Corey, I think Graham, a couple of questions here. [00:43:56] I'm going to start and this is more for Lance. [00:43:57] So Lance, could you help me understand on the first one, a new FTE to increase the [00:44:02] capacity, implement the part 1/50 noise, insulation programs? [00:44:06] What would be the outcome of that? [00:44:08] What would the public see for that one employee being added for the Poland? [00:44:13] So, one, they they part 150 implementation has not moved as fast as we would like. [00:44:21] This has been going on for multiple years. [00:44:23] So what I think that we want to do is actually accelerate that program. [00:44:27] So we wanted acceleration look like how many projects would we get done? [00:44:30] Well, I'm not sure about the number of project, but I would actually like to get, for [00:44:33] example, more houses insulated in a faster, faster not number of colleges that would be [00:44:40] insulated per year than we have done in the past. [00:44:43] Are the number of condos insulated than we have done in the past? [00:44:47] So this is I think we're both Commissioner Greg Warren in Steinbruck and I are all kind [00:44:50] of going. Is that. Help us understand what the outcome is. [00:44:54] We can describe it to the public. [00:44:56] So not just we're going to insulate some more houses. [00:44:58] How many houses? [00:45:00] Because that gives us the opportunity. [00:45:02] If you say this will result in 10 more houses and we want to do 50 more houses than let's [00:45:07] figure out how we allocate budget resources to accomplish that outcome. [00:45:12] So without having these real outcomes. [00:45:14] Excuse me just one more second. [00:45:17] It's difficult to see what we're what the public is getting for this is that. [00:45:22] If you'll forgive me, I'm making sure that commission staff is doing kind of a Q&A that I [00:45:28] think would be, yeah. There we are. [00:45:29] We're making sure that we can think about this from a policy level feedback loop as we go



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:45:33] through the budget. I do think policy assistance there is critical to our budget team to [00:45:37] do that. So to answer your question specifically, this noise position would be primarily [00:45:46] to implement the to handle increased workload on staff for our current sound insulation [00:45:55] programs. So a specific outcome of that in terms of numbers would be that we are planning [00:46:02] to do that actual installation of the first condo complex next year and start on the [00:46:09] second condo complex. [00:46:10] So having the two going on at the same time requires additional work. [00:46:16] We also have that increased involvement in the start work with ground noise study that we [00:46:24] are just starting this fall. [00:46:26] That's going to be a substantial effort for next year and this person would help with [00:46:30] that. In addition, the number of noise complaints has increased quite dramatically and [00:46:37] our staff does a really good job at talking to people directly on the phone about that. [00:46:42] But that does take a lot of time and effort to do so. [00:46:45] This person would help with that. [00:46:47] So does that. And just to add to that, we are intending to come back to commission with a [00:46:53] proposal to accelerate the sound insulation program. [00:46:56] And that deserves a full public discussion and is a pretty big request. [00:47:03] That's not part of what we're talking about here. [00:47:05] Right. And we've been waiting for that. [00:47:07] So I appreciate you calling that out. [00:47:09] But I mean, I think even just a highlight, we we'd have to do it today, but a highlight [00:47:12] of what that increase would look like so that we can consider whether we want to do it in [00:47:16] the 2020 budget and not then wait another year. [00:47:19] You know, I think we all understand there's enormous concerns. [00:47:23] As you as you just mentioned, the public is calling daily about noise at the airport. [00:47:29] So I think there's big interest amongst the commission to accelerate this program. [00:47:33] But give us the opportunity to know what that looks like. [00:47:36] So I appreciate thank you for a little more. [00:47:37] Explanation is one position. [00:47:39] It sounds like that person would be doing quite a bit. [00:47:41] And I guess that's a little bit of my concern as well. [00:47:44] And Borgen, very much appreciate you started it. [00:47:46] I can't hear what the number was. [00:47:48] One was at 111 and you're down to 56 FTE. [00:47:52] But if we again, we're driving towards specific outcomes, I'd rather see us get things [00:47:58] done as opposed to just trying to cut costs. [00:48:01] Just to cut costs. So. [00:48:04] Status to you guys. OK. [00:48:06] Commissioner, if I can. Yes, just to add to that, just add to the conversation here. [00:48:11] But I appreciate that. [00:48:13] But it's always a balance of both, right. [00:48:15] To try to find that that's what we're doing is balancing the unconstrained versus the [00:48:20] constraint. We have a we both. [00:48:23] And that's what we do in the process to look at that. [00:48:25] And one thing, too, is. [00:48:27] On a specific FTE, I am fully on board with having a measurable outcomes to do that [00:48:32] within programs. [00:48:33] But I think it is very difficult on specific FTE to say if we added one more FTE here, [00:48:38] this could produce. So I know we're not going to talk about the insulation once, but a [00:48:42] specific outcome related to a measureable outcome. [00:48:46] I would prefer to look at the measurement or the program with a strategic goal versus [00:48:49] the. Yeah. So the measurement there, I just don't want us to say that we could be that [00:48:56] that specific to say if we add this we're gonna get this much of a measurable outcome [00:49:00] from one more position. [00:49:02] So I think you know, I appreciate that. [00:49:03] But, but this actually says increased capacity to implement part 150 and that would mean [00:49:08] we're behind as Lance. [00:49:09] Yeah. Called out. So maybe Africa figure and maybe if it could go back to the question [00:49:13] that Commissioner Sandbrook attacks on the first and the slide previous to this and to [00:49:19] add to what CBO said in terms of the the process that we actually go through when [00:49:24] we're when we're developing and trying to prove the FTD as an example, working with [00:49:29] Stewart Group, for example, we actually start with the outcome. [00:49:32] We say here is the outcome that we're trying to accomplish.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:49:35] Then we look at how many man hours do we actually need to accomplish this particular [00:49:40] output. Then we translate that Manoa into FTE. [00:49:45] It might be ten point five fifteen. [00:49:47] Then we're factoring technology, we factor in process improvement, exit extra to actually [00:49:53] come up with a final FTE. [00:49:55] So we've got a very extensive process now. [00:49:57] It's easier to do it that way with X Duritz group like with Jefferies Group. [00:50:01] Not as easy because you're talking about planning and design and it's not as easy to do [00:50:05] that way. So you have to use, you know, to to make some guesses. [00:50:10] Right. It's more art and science in that word. [00:50:13] With Sirat Group, it can be a lot of science more than anything. [00:50:16] But we go through an extensive process to actually come up with the FTT numbers. [00:50:21] And we actually start with the outcome. [00:50:23] Then the man hours, then the number of 50s. [00:50:25] And then we factor in technology and efficiency and everything else to get to the [00:50:29] numbers. Well, as the only commissioner that's co-chair the highlight forum for the past [00:50:35] four years, we certainly have heard extensively about this need for the part 150 [00:50:41] installation and I weave. [00:50:43] I was under the impression throughout that time that it was a matter of the FAA being [00:50:47] slow to implement that which they've already approved. [00:50:51] But what I now understand is that it takes the port to actually apply for this money and [00:50:55] that something like an 80/20 match. [00:50:58] And that's a we had to allocate. [00:51:00] So in addition to the FTC, we have to have like the 20 percent part of the budget [00:51:05] allocated to actually accomplish that, which the additional FTE has to accomplish that. [00:51:12] That's correct. And and I don't want to get too much into the weeds here, but I think in [00:51:18] our presentation we in December, we will be talking about the additional risk that we [00:51:25] might be asking the commission to take on by accelerating the program, because we would [00:51:29] be advancing projects ahead of approval of funding by FAA. [00:51:35] And so that money would be spent at risk. [00:51:38] Well, that was my point, is that my understanding that we have a backlog of approved [00:51:42] projects that we haven't sought to accomplish. [00:51:45] So I'm not sure how we get a front of a curve that we haven't fulfilled. [00:51:50] Well, as Eileen said, we're looking at several options, including maybe the salt can [00:51:54] come. We're going to do a all right. [00:51:56] Yeah. Whole session on that. [00:51:57] There's gonna be some of our December. [00:51:59] So let's keep going on the budget, though. [00:52:01] Ok. Ok. [00:52:03] So some of these are fairly straightforward. [00:52:07] I'm just going to touch on a few of them. [00:52:08] So the first line item in the facility planning and capital projects is to increase [00:52:13] capacity to plan, manage and implement capital projects. [00:52:16] There's a lot bundled in here. [00:52:18] This would include one FTE for planning, one for capital management development or [00:52:23] capital program development, one architecture FTE, one fire department after each review [00:52:28] plans, three to use and PMG and also one in maintenance. [00:52:32] So putting together the resources to plan and manage our capital program involves [00:52:37] resources throughout the organization. [00:52:40] When you when you mentioned the reduction in security, I thought it was like employee [00:52:45] clearance, security, employee screening. [00:52:48] A screening. Yeah. So I met with a constituent who works like the graveyard shift on the [00:52:56] inside the secure area. [00:52:58] And she was saying that it's difficult at the wee hours to get through security, I think, [00:53:05] when having to bring halal foods through that were not available on the inside. [00:53:11] And I don't know whether this, you know, during the very dark hours of the night, whether [00:53:17] whether this reduction will make that any more difficult. [00:53:20] Now, I don't think it will end as as I think I'd pointed out, the the bringing the food [00:53:26] through the security. They the employees are actually supposed to go through the employee [00:53:30] screening process and they will be allowed to take the food through. [00:53:34] If they continue to try to go through the TSA checkpoints, then they might have an issue. [00:53:39] but they're actually supposed be going to the employee screening process downstairs. [00:53:44] And but this doesn't reduce that capability.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:53:48] No. it should not. There is. [00:53:49] You have. We we reduce the number of checkpoints that we have, that access points that we [00:53:54] open at certain points in the night. [00:53:56] It doesn't make sense. I mean, to when there's hardly anybody going through. [00:53:59] But we it but it doesn't prevent anyone from going through the checkpoint and it doesn't [00:54:03] prevent them from taking their lunch through as well. [00:54:10] Ok, so moving down the enhanced baggage system, oversight and maintenance. [00:54:14] This is F.T. used to address some specific concerns of airlines to just help that process [00:54:20] move better. The next line item? [00:54:24] Maintain new facilities and equipment. [00:54:25] One of the areas where where we have acquired new facilities is in the commercial area of [00:54:31] the airfield, the cargo buildings. [00:54:33] I think there's at least five new build or five old buildings that we have effectively [00:54:37] acquired through lease terminations and they revert to us. [00:54:41] So the good news is we get to fully lease out these buildings. [00:54:45] The bad news, if you will, is that we have to maintain them. [00:54:47] And so we have to invest some money upfront and then on an ongoing basis to keep them in [00:54:53] good working order. And that requires resources. [00:54:56] The other thing is that we are opening up the international rivals facility and that will [00:55:00] just additional square footage. [00:55:01] We've got to maintain those facilities. [00:55:04] So there that that's certainly a driver. [00:55:09] The next big item, adequately maintain civil infrastructure, utilities, stormwater system [00:55:13] and solid waste utilities. [00:55:15] I mean, this is our our field crew and they they they get called on to do many, many [00:55:19] things. But I think we've recognized that there are key areas where we haven't been doing [00:55:22] enough. This is aimed at addressing that as we go down the list. [00:55:27] There's a number of individual items here. [00:55:31] I think the what I would next want to call out is the implementation of random employee [00:55:35] screening for six FTD. [00:55:38] And again, this is something that will be new and will allow us to more fully address [00:55:45] some of the security issues as employees go through different doors. [00:55:50] They can have random screening at those doors. [00:55:52] And it's part of our part of our plan for for full employee screening. [00:55:58] So what I'd like to do now is move on to the highlights of the other budget request, the [00:56:06] non FTE items. [00:56:08] And again, there are many, many more items that are back in the appendix. [00:56:12] But I've just called out some of the highlights and the big dollar items. [00:56:16] The first item is the new item for art conservation. [00:56:21] We've added three hundred and five thousand dollars for ongoing conservation of the art [00:56:26] at the airport. The next item is for window cleaning for the all the terminal buildings. [00:56:32] This is something we've done on an ad hoc basis in the past, but this would do it on an [00:56:35] annual basis. Lance indicated earlier the need for customer service at the international [00:56:42] arrivals area, and that's what this next line item is. [00:56:44] It's bringing the budget up to the level of spending we are currently at right now. [00:56:51] And then the next item, this passenger wait time queuing queue management. [00:56:56] This is a two and a half million dollars for outside services to help with queue [00:57:00] management and potentially other hot spots as well. [00:57:04] This reflects actually the same level of budgeting as we have this year. [00:57:07] We've treated as a non-recurring item because we want to look at it each year and try to [00:57:11] decide is this the right amount to spend? [00:57:13] But this represents the same amount that we had in the budget for 2019. [00:57:19] The next item I'd like to call out is the incremental costs associated question here. [00:57:24] Short film. So with regards to queue management. [00:57:28] While I understand that clear provide additional staff to do their clearance, it is in [00:57:35] the most central location for folks. [00:57:37] So even with TSA pre you have to go down the road apiece to get through that clearance. [00:57:44] So you go down to gates to go back if you want to go I.F.. [00:57:48] And so it just strikes me that the that the ability to benefit from pre-clear pre-check [00:57:58] is reduced at the primary central terminal gate rate. [00:58:03] And I'm not sure if anything the question we have pre-check, a checkpoint 1 and [00:58:06] Checkpoint 4. So there's pre-check at the north and the south end of the airport. [00:58:12] When you come straight into the terminal, that's one checkpoint where pre-check at



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[00:58:18] Checkpoint 1 and we have pre-check, a checkpoint for. [00:58:21] So depending on where you're going in within the airport, you either go to Checkpoint 1, [00:58:24] our checkpoint for a pre-check as a pre-clear pre-check comps our passenger. [00:58:31] My not trying to suggest was that when you go through the central terminal, the most [00:58:35] central checkpoint, which I'm fortunate I don't know the number, Checkpoint 3, checkpoint [00:58:40] 3 this way. 3 is the one that the first one you come to. [00:58:43] Right. And you don't have pre-check clear has the access. [00:58:47] And so you have to go. So the people with TSA pre are put at a disadvantage. [00:58:52] Over the folks that clear now, it seems to me that check out line four is right beside [00:58:56] Checkpoint 3. [00:58:57] Like right there, it's like like 15 seconds. [00:59:02] You're a checkpoint for which the pre-check line. [00:59:06] Right. I guess the that wasn't my experience. [00:59:10] Just just recently. [00:59:11] But the perception perhaps is the. [00:59:14] Because I'm getting that feedback as well, that the primary spot is not for the basic [00:59:21] passenger. But let me say that maybe that's something that these folks, these managers [00:59:29] can help guide people. [00:59:31] Ok, well, checkpoint four is right beside Checkpoint 3 and Checkpoint 4 as the preaches a [00:59:36] pre-check checkpoint. [00:59:39] I mean, literally lining right there. [00:59:41] Yeah, right. OK. Mr. [00:59:42] Caulkins. OK. [00:59:44] Keep going. All right. [00:59:45] I did want to highlight the renewable natural gas item here. [00:59:51] This will allow us to pay for 100 percent of the natural gas for the buses and 70 percent [00:59:59] in the terminal is the assumption in this budget line item here. [01:00:04] Other items that are in here said also being utilized for the A-check system or systems [01:00:11] renewable. 70 percent is for the terminal heating collection system. [01:00:16] And the other part portion is buses. [01:00:19] Yes. Yeah. OK. Thanks. [01:00:22] And other items on here are are listed as new requests, in many cases they're actually [01:00:27] recurring items. [01:00:29] But we treat them as non-recurring and that would be the sustainable airport master plan. [01:00:33] We've got some work on here for both the planning and from the environmental work. [01:00:37] There is also ongoing planning work for both existing facilities and anticipating new. [01:00:44] We've got work for the utilities master plan, which is really sort of the same kind of [01:00:48] thing. But for the utility systems that are necessary to support the growth of the [01:00:52] airport and then we've got the completion of the Asset Management Program, which will [01:00:57] help us really understand our future asset renewal and replacement plans. [01:01:03] Another item would be the new janitorial costs for the international arrivals facility. [01:01:06] Big new space. Got to got to maintain it and clean it. [01:01:11] And and I quess there's the other items are probably pretty straightforward. [01:01:17] So what we've done on the last page in this page is to highlight basically 74 percent of [01:01:23] the total budget requests. [01:01:25] All the other details of 164 items are in the appendix. [01:01:30] Mr. Samak's get just on the last item there under financial performance, attract and [01:01:37] retain new air service incentive payments. [01:01:41] I'd like a little more detail on what that refers to. [01:01:44] Sure. We we have an incentive program for four new entrants. [01:01:48] New new service. [01:01:50] New service. So, for example, the new services that have started up this year, there are [01:01:56] contractual payments that are made to to support the joint marketing programs and things [01:02:03] that are part of each new service. [01:02:05] So what this reflects is, are our existing commitments and our sort of no new commitments [01:02:11] that will be coming on next year. [01:02:15] Bad you service, it could be a new role that that wasn't being done in the beginning. [01:02:21] And if you do away of a run, for example, the landing fees, etc., [01:02:24] I'm over one or a two year period to kind of get the the new rought are the new air [01:02:30] service provider off the ground to reduce the risk of startup their startup rates. [01:02:35] Who do we target? [01:02:37] Service is not already provided amongst existing carriers. [01:02:43] It concerns me that we're actually incentivizing new service in a broad way when we're



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[01:02:49] struggling with our capacity issues and we're spending money or in-kind incentives. [01:02:57] I would hope that that those incentives would be directed, particularly in areas where we [01:03:03] feel that we are our region would benefit from additional service. [01:03:10] It seems like we hardly need to promote the general growth at the air. [01:03:16] You're exactly right. So we use we typically target underserved market. [01:03:20] Okay. That's what I'm hoping to we're looked at. [01:03:22] Look at, you know, dependent on the demographics, population. [01:03:25] Here we are. [01:03:26] Where do we have a lot of people going to indirectly? [01:03:29] And we usually maybe focus on those unserved markets. [01:03:32] All right. Thank you. So is it possible, then, is it not possible to incentivize folks to [01:03:41] change their hours of flight? [01:03:45] That hasn't been all that we can't do this. [01:03:49] That that's that's actually been a discussion at multiple airports. [01:03:54] I'm not sure if anyone has successfully done that. [01:03:57] The airlines usually fly at the time where they are most profitable and at the time where [01:04:03] people actually want to fly. [01:04:05] That's something we can look at. But I'm much I've not seen an airport that has [01:04:08] successfully done it so far and I'm seeing a lot of heads saying they haven't seen it. [01:04:12] I mean, obviously, air cargo is where, you know, evening flights or where are the most [01:04:17] antagonistic for the number of planes and that we through your efforts, are trying to [01:04:22] incentivize through a rating system to to disband or to ship those hours. [01:04:30] And so seems to me when you get your dirty dozen. [01:04:36] Would that not be an opportunity to then suggest that we could? [01:04:40] There's a limitation in terms of what we can do, in terms of how we incentivize different [01:04:45] airlines. Also, both cargo and passenger. [01:04:50] There's a network in there flying from one airport to another airport. [01:04:53] There are banks on the other side of wherever they're going that it makes sense if they [01:04:58] can't catch with banks for that flight or that road. [01:05:01] I would say that I would like to know that we're offering it. [01:05:04] I think to know that that that's an opportunity. [01:05:06] If we can if we can promote, we should be able to discourage that in terms of the [01:05:11] legality. And I'd like to know that those offers are actually on the table. [01:05:16] And with regards to jumping subjects, the oranges project. [01:05:20] Well, I'm very excited about this opportunity. [01:05:23] I'll accept that. My understanding is that we do not have the final result of the [01:05:28] contract and that there is this. [01:05:31] So this has not received commission final approval in the quiet period still over and [01:05:36] that this is a budget item to reflect the point. [01:05:39] The point is, is that there is options before the commission to go beyond 70 percent of [01:05:46] the airport natural gas demand and we could do 100 percent of each at a different time at [01:05:53] a different price point, which has not been decided. [01:05:58] Ok. I quess. [01:06:00] Yes. We are still in the quiet period, so I can't say anything specifically. [01:06:05] My anticipation is that when we come to commission, there will be a full explanation of [01:06:11] the proposal that we're making and options that were considered along the way. [01:06:16] I don't know at this point whether the proposal itself will include options. [01:06:21] So I can't really speak to that and I probably shouldn't even if I did know. [01:06:25] So. Well, that was the understand we had in briefing that options would be presented to [01:06:31] us. And this is exactly the kind of thing that the Energy and Sustainability Committee [01:06:35] was hoping for no return on the dollar. [01:06:37] We may choose to spend more based on the assessment at the end of the year. [01:06:41] How close are we to meeting our greenhouse gas goal? [01:06:43] Sure. So just for purposes of the budget, we had to give a number based on our best [01:06:49] assessment of what it might be. [01:06:51] Obviously the commission decision is independent of that. [01:06:55] And so whatever the commission decides would be reflected in the in the final budget. [01:07:00] I just point out that because we're in the quiet period, to see a number on the table [01:07:04] seems to be directly contrary to being told we're in a quiet period. [01:07:09] Sure. Okay. [01:07:11] They're not they're not related. [01:07:13] Yeah. You're not related.

[01:07:15] We have to make up budgeting.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[01:07:16] Yeah. Okav. [01:07:18] Okay. I just wanted to be a lock. [01:07:22] So with that, I've covered Bishop Hawkins as a question. [01:07:24] And I just want to point out, we're an hour and a half into a two hour session. [01:07:28] And I want to allow the presenters a chance to get through the material that they deem [01:07:32] critically important. Okay. [01:07:33] I would agree. But I think it's budget. [01:07:36] So this is what we do for us artists. [01:07:39] And I think they're they're accustomed to it. [01:07:41] It is a limited time period. [01:07:42] We all need to exercise restraint so that we can get through the really important topics. [01:07:47] If it is not budget related, if its operations, please hold your questions or email them [01:07:51] to staff at an appropriate time. [01:07:53] Keep going, folks. [01:07:54] All right. So the next few slides, I'm just going to show the the impact of our budget [01:08:00] decisions on honor roll up at the airport. [01:08:02] So we're kind of through the nitty gritty details. [01:08:05] So I think these next few slides will actually go fairly quickly. [01:08:08] This first slide is a summary of our non aeronautical net operating income and you can [01:08:14] see the primary revenue sources listed on the left. [01:08:17] So public parking, airport dining and read retail rental car, ground transportation, et [01:08:22] cetera. The strongest growth areas, as I indicated earlier, public parking in airport [01:08:26] dining and retail and bottom line, net operating income up twelve point nine percent. [01:08:34] On the aeronautical side, again, primarily cost recovery up above this rate, base revenue [01:08:40] using the sea airfield movement area. [01:08:42] This is where we recover landing fees. [01:08:44] The airfield, Aprin area. [01:08:45] There's a separate paren fee for that and terminal Rentz includes a lot of different [01:08:49] things. It's the gates, it's the baggage system, it's the ticket counters, it's the [01:08:53] space, the people that the airlines lease and then the separate collection and or for you [01:08:58] go off. Can you just give a quick explanation for the 2018 budget versus actual on the [01:09:03] air? Airfield movement. [01:09:06] One twenty five, an actualise 116 and then we're budgeting in 18. [01:09:12] Ok. Sure. It's cost recovery. [01:09:15] So when we when we go back to 2018, what that reflects is that our costs came in less [01:09:21] than anticipated. [01:09:22] And, you know, one of the things when whenever we look at 2018 actuals, we have to [01:09:26] remember that there was a roughly 14 million dollar pension credit at the end of the year [01:09:34] that reduced our costs down. [01:09:36] And you know it. We don't actually remember that. [01:09:38] OK. All right. Right. Well, I'm remembering. [01:09:40] OK. And about ago, I asked the question, I think over 12 million of that was allocated to [01:09:46] the airport and then it gets spread across cost centers. [01:09:49] So that would be one of many areas where we came in under budget. [01:09:52] That happened to be one of the biggest drivers thing. [01:09:55] And so that brings the costs down, which brings the revenue down in a cost recovery rate [01:10:01] base. What I really wanted to highlight here is the biggest growth for 2020. [01:10:06] And that's what the federal inspection services area. [01:10:08] This is for the international rivals. [01:10:11] And it reflects the opening of the international rivals facility. [01:10:14] We will have increased costs associated with that new facility. [01:10:17] So we expect to see our f.b.i.'s [01:10:19] rate going up and the costs we recover going up. [01:10:23] This also reflects the elimination of revenue sharing, as we discussed earlier. [01:10:27] So that line item is also highlighted in yellow. [01:10:30] And the net result on the very bottom line shows the effect of the reduction and then [01:10:35] elimination of revenue sharing back in 2017. [01:10:39] We were effectively running a deficit where we were subsidizing the aeronautical side of [01:10:43] the business through revenue sharing. [01:10:46] And now with the elimination of it, we are generating revenue. [01:10:50] And so that's what's going to help us pay for the capital program going forward. [01:10:55] I now have a number of slides that just show our trends and this is our passenger level

[01:11:01] and the the growth rates in the the blue bar.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[01:11:04] You can see while it's dropping 2 to 3 percent, we're still seeing almost anticipating to [01:11:10] see about a million and a half new passengers coming through the airport next year. [01:11:15] Total operating costs. [01:11:17] You can see how our costs have been growing over the last few years. [01:11:21] And just as a take you back a little bit in 2017. [01:11:26] We implemented the full employee screening. [01:11:28] So there's a big jump in costs that year, 2018, again, was slightly lower than expected [01:11:34] due to that pension credit. [01:11:36] Twenty nineteen had the big increase in the environmental remediation liability costs, so [01:11:42] that bumped that up. [01:11:43] And so overall, 2020 is a fairly modest growth compared to to 2019. [01:11:49] And that's really the point here. [01:11:51] There is some comparisons of our own M costs per in plane passenger compared to peer [01:11:55] airports on slide 55 and it'll show we actually compare very favorably. [01:12:04] All right, our FTE growth over the last few years and we've added a a box on the top for [01:12:09] the perception of this graphic, which is the on twelve, it's somewhat misleading. [01:12:15] The the rate of growth is the line. [01:12:20] But the actual if you were to fit a line to the absolute growth instead of the rate of [01:12:26] growth, as you pointed out, it's a 1.5 [01:12:29] million increase. [01:12:30] While the number is you know, while it's flattening out, it's still pointing down. [01:12:35] Right. So, yeah, the rate of growth is going down. [01:12:38] The number of passengers. [01:12:39] I know. So in terms of what the traveling public experiences, what they're receiving, [01:12:43] public experiences is not Raiders. [01:12:46] Yeah, no question. Ok. [01:12:50] So looking at slide my here, slide 14 FTE growth here, we've added in the project [01:12:57] management group into our totals. [01:13:00] And you can see over the last four years, this is really the point that our FTE growth [01:13:04] has been consistent with our passenger growth. [01:13:07] And you can see that the F-D per million in payments is been relatively flat since twenty [01:13:13] seventeen. And if we went back before 2015, we'd see we're actually lower than where we [01:13:18] were back in 2013 and 14. [01:13:22] Non aeronautical performance here with the big bar showed non aeronautical net operating [01:13:28] income and the blue line shows they non-zero revenue per and plainchant. [01:13:33] And so that's where we're starting to really see some of the benefits of our ADR program. [01:13:38] And as I indicated earlier, the increase in the parking revenue and the primary drivers [01:13:42] there. Looking at CPE, we had been relatively flat for it for a number of years and [01:13:50] beginning in twenty nineteen we saw a big jump and that really reflects the new [01:13:54] facilities that were coming online. [01:13:56] We had the Concourse D and X terminal. [01:13:58] We had North satellite phase one. [01:14:00] We also had the same environmental remediation liability costs that were hitting the rate [01:14:04] base and the reduced revenue sharing. [01:14:07] And so that's those have been the big drivers in the number we've seen already. [01:14:12] Slide 78, we'll show our our CPE compared to all pure airports as of 2018. [01:14:19] And we actually you are right in the middle. [01:14:23] Finally, some of the key risks or issues attached with our operating budget, as I [01:14:29] indicated earlier, we have really taken some measures to try to try and try to generate a [01:14:36] more accurate budget. [01:14:37] And that includes implementing the payroll vacancy of 3 percent. [01:14:42] All of the new FTE that we are proposing assume a July 1 start date for budget purposes, [01:14:48] even though many of them will likely be hired earlier than that. [01:14:53] And then a number of areas we just reduced the spending from what was originally request [01:14:58] and whether it was planning or whether it was the utility master plan or sometimes in the [01:15:03] aviation project management group some of the expense projects. [01:15:06] We just reduced the requests down to a level that we thought was more likely. [01:15:10] It's very difficult to know exactly what what project or what planning study is going to [01:15:15] get delayed, but something tends to get delayed. [01:15:17] And so we have taken some risks in those areas. [01:15:20] I think Mr. Sempra. second question. [01:15:22] We owe it to get through the bullet. [01:15:24] OK. Ok.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

	You know, airline realignment is something that we know is out there.
	This budget, both the operating in the capital budget does not assume anything for it for
	2020. If it were to happen, that would be an unbudgeted item.
	It's magnitude Borgen.
	That was going to be my question.
-	So rough airline realignment, it it all depends.
-	I mean, it could cost as much as one hundred million dollars.
	This is the cost of building out new lounges and moving carriers.
	It's it can be extremely expensive.
	And that's why we're spending a lot of time to try to get it right and fully understand
	the airline needs.
	And I think J.J. in the background probably screaming that there. But it all depends on which option we actually end up going forward.
	We have looked at option that we thought were the way to go.
	We have found fatal flaws and we're kind of starting over again, looking at different
	options. So it could go to that range at Bargain said.
	But it really depends on which option we ended we end up with.
	You anticipate coming before the commission with a range of.
	Just so that we have an idea in terms of the budget of when we might expect.
-	Absolutely. So I would say we as Lind's indicated, we've kind of rebooted.
	We found a fatal flaw with the alternative that we were primarily focused on a little
	more than a month ago.
01:16:43	So we've re-engaged the airlines.
	We've identified several alternatives to continue to meet the objectives.
01:16:50]	Right now we're focused on one alternative and we're in the process of evaluating to see
01:16:56]	if there's fatal flaws in that scenario.
	Plan to go to the directors on the 17th of October.
	So I'm hoping before the end of this month, we're actually going to settle in on an
	alternative when we get a commission.
	I think at that point we'll need to make sure that we have good schedule and good cost
	information. So I would hope before the end of the year we'll be in front of commission.
	Great. Is that an internal workgroup or do we involve airlines in that?
	The airlines are very much actively involved.
	Absolutely. We're soliciting feedback.
	We're meeting with them biweekly.
	And as you can imagine, airlines have differing perspectives. So the likelihood of us to be able to meet everybody's interests is very low.
	So we're making the tough decisions.
	But making sure that every airline has adequate opportunity for feedback.
	Thank you. Ok.
	So I think the other area that we've had some conversation with the airlines on is for
	the airline consortium to take over certain functions, and they have expressed an
	interest in taking over the busing of the non-court employee busing function.
	And we're still having those conversation.
	This budget does not assume any change is fully in our budget at this point in time.
	They've also indicated an interest in expanding the scope of the airline technical
	representative function.
01:18:17]	They actually want us to put that in their budget.
01:18:19]	We're pushing back a little bit.
01:18:20]	Our current budget is just a continuation of our existing situation.
	We think that will probably change for 2020.
01:18:28]	One, what's the role and scope of the technical representative?
	It's a it's a consultant that the port hires.
	The the individual effectively works for the airlines, helping them to understand and
	coordinating their response on a lot of our capital projects.
-	So we actually benefit from it.
	In this sense, it's not technical in the form of app facial recognition, data sharing.
-	We are at new capital and no project.
	Thank you. Ok.
	And the last item, there's been some discussion internally about the need for a port
	accessibility coordinator.
	I think there is 100 percent agreement that the port needed this function.
01:19:06]	There was not 100 percent agreement as to where it should reside.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[01:19:09] That has now been determined. [01:19:10] And we will be proposing one additional FTE at the airport that will serve in that [01:19:16] capacity. That individual will also provide services to other divisions as well. [01:19:22] So it will be a port wide function housed at the airport and we'll bring that forward in [01:19:27] our budget at first reading. [01:19:28] It strikes me, though, that when you say not yet budget, and so it's assumed to start at [01:19:34] the beginning of the year. Yes. [01:19:35] Well, it basically didn't make it into our budget for this round for this presentation. [01:19:40] We are proposing it and we will be in the budget that we bring forward to you at first [01:19:45] reading. Is this part of that wheelchair accessible issue that really one of the [01:19:50] questions was, ah, you know, with the signage. [01:19:54] And the other questions about is, is the level of service currently reflective of. [01:20:02] Opportunities provided or awareness of opportunities, and I don't know what the [01:20:06] accessibility coordinators role is, but part of the part of the situation like where you [01:20:11] get your access to your wheelchairs, those sort of things are. [01:20:15] I don't know whether that's part of the job application or what. [01:20:21] Because the pilot project is to evaluate, you know, the scaling and the service levels, [01:20:28] the quality of service. [01:20:31] That sort of thing. Yes. [01:20:32] Jeffrey Brown, director of couple of programs and facilities at the airport. [01:20:36] So to answer your question, yes, it is to accelerate the some of the recommendations from [01:20:41] the ODIO report, but also to address and the title to complaints and to add some more [01:20:48] specificity to Barling audio report. [01:20:50] Audio is Open Doors organization does report that was presented to you and they had some [01:20:55] recommendations. So it's extra some of those programs. [01:20:57] Yes, it includes wayfinding includes accessible to rooms on the upper and lower levels. [01:21:03] And as well as tackling two issues, complaints we may receive through the federal civil [01:21:09] rights office, but also as to what Morgan said. [01:21:12] We may also look the other prominent address to six issues as well. [01:21:16] So that's the undefined portion of the scope for that position. [01:21:22] Ok. Now I'd like to turn to the capital budget. [01:21:27] What I'm presenting here is a budget that shows that we anticipate spending approximately [01:21:32] two point nine billion dollars over the next five years. [01:21:36] The top half of the slide shows the spending on our three big projects, the IMF, the [01:21:41] North satellite and baggage optimization. [01:21:44] And then we have other projects have called out the line item for preliminary planning [01:21:50] and design for samp related work. [01:21:53] And after that, we have proposed new projects. [01:21:55] There are a total of 34 projects that total 233 million in spending in this five year [01:22:01] period. Two two items that are. [01:22:05] I'd like to also call out that the last line item in the middle box is IP reserves. [01:22:11] This is consistent with the policy that Dan Thomas is communicated to you about. [01:22:17] This reflects our anticipation of future spending that has not vet defined it is we kind [01:22:26] of use it as a as a revolving fund in the sense that if we approve a new project, we we [01:22:31] deduct from the reserve. [01:22:32] If we achieve some savings, we add it back. [01:22:36] It's basically back end loaded because we feel like most of the uncertainty in our [01:22:40] capital program is probably going to be in the second half of this five year period. [01:22:46] The second item that is very new this year is the last line item there see IPI Cash Flow [01:22:52] Adjustment Reserve. [01:22:54] And this was another attempt at making our budget a little more realistic. [01:23:00] In other words, with over 100 projects, we have a very difficult time of identifying [01:23:06] exactly which project is going to get delayed. [01:23:08] But we know there's going to be something that's going to come up. [01:23:10] So rather than having one hundred plus project managers individually go in and adjust [01:23:15] their cash flows, we've taken approach religious look at the division wide and let's [01:23:20] assume that we're not going to spend everything that we say we are. [01:23:24] And so we developed a formula that says that for those projects under construction, we'll [01:23:29] assume about 90 percent of the spending in 2020. [01:23:33] For those that are in to have had design authorization but not destruction authorization, [01:23:40] we're assuming 75 percent of the spending would occur. [01:23:42] And then for those that are have not yet been authorized, we assume 60 percent. [01:23:47] So that's how we've developed this adjustment and then we've just spread it out over the

> Page 20 of 28 This transcript is not an official record. It was generated using speech-to-text technology and may contain inaccuracies or misspellings.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[01:23:52] following two years. So it's really just a it's a a zero sum game. [01:23:57] We're just spreading spreading the dollars out, trying to make our 2020 budget a little [01:24:01] more realistic. [01:24:02] Thank you, Mr. Caulkins. His question is that exercise principally a budget exercise or [01:24:09] will it actually result in us borrowing less during this time period and therefore saving [01:24:15] on some debt service? Potentially, it's it's probably more of a budget exercise. [01:24:20] In other words, when it comes time to do a bond issue, we are really focused on the [01:24:25] projects that are authorized that are moving forward where we're pretty certain about the [01:24:30] spending needs. So this is really aimed at the fact that we've got a lot of projects that [01:24:37] are in that earlier phase or they're in design. [01:24:40] Something could come back with a big bust and then we can delay the project or the scope [01:24:44] has to change and we have to think about it for a few months. [01:24:48] Those are situations that do come up that that that delay our spending and it happens [01:24:53] every year. We just don't know which project is going to get delayed. [01:24:56] Mr. Steinbruck? Yeah. [01:24:58] I want to ask about airline realignment and why that would be why there would not be a [01:25:02] placeholder there within the five year. [01:25:05] I would think within five years time we're going to work out some of the issues and [01:25:09] challenges amongst worst case. [01:25:11] So as all of indicated in a subsequent slide, that's one of the items that an effect [01:25:15] would be competing for those reserves. [01:25:17] We haven't put in a budget item because we just we don't have a basis for that right now. [01:25:21] Is James Jennings discussed? [01:25:24] There are a number of alternatives that are being looked at. [01:25:26] And each of those has very different costs. [01:25:28] So for us to pick a number at this point, it was just a little premature. [01:25:33] Well, to be fair, we've done that in other projects. [01:25:35] But be that as it may take. [01:25:37] Yeah. Be that as it may. [01:25:40] Could you briefly describe the 16, the money for the samp preliminary planning design, [01:25:47] which went to the money? [01:25:48] There is the there was \$300 million that was approved as a part of the most recent lease [01:25:54] agreement that said, okay, we could spend up to with airline approval, we could spend up [01:25:58] to three hundred million dollars on sampa related planning and early early design. [01:26:06] What has come before you as a request for \$10 million dollars to start that process? [01:26:11] And you know, any future spending is gonna come back to you for authorization before we [01:26:16] go forward. But that's what that line item is sort of based on. [01:26:20] If we start moving through the the environmental review process, get it completed and can [01:26:25] actually get going on on additional planning and design work. [01:26:30] Ok. That's. I'll leave it at that. [01:26:32] But just plugging a number based on. [01:26:37] Ok. And then we as I indicated, we have 34 new projects here is sort of a summary view of [01:26:42] them with the biggest items listed down below the baggage claim device renewal and [01:26:48] replacement over multi multi-year program totaling 71 million, addressing some security [01:26:54] issues on the mezzanine level, industrial waste treatment plant improvements and creating [01:27:00] new lease wall space in the terminal. [01:27:02] And the next phase of restroom renewal and replacement. [01:27:05] And that would be for the main terminal mezzanine and bag claim areas. [01:27:09] So those are some of the new projects. [01:27:11] The details are in the appendix. [01:27:13] Obviously, before we spend money on those or anything other than early, early money, they [01:27:17] would come to you for authorization. [01:27:19] And this is a way of summarizing our sea IP in a high level, showing what is under [01:27:27] construction in the first section up above. [01:27:31] Then what is has design authorization and then what is pending authorization. [01:27:36] So helping you see the big, big buckets, if you will, of our capital program, recognizing [01:27:41] that there are many projects in them. [01:27:45] And then you can see that I guess what I would say is the reserve amount that I showed in [01:27:48] the previous slide, that would be all the way down at the bottom. [01:27:52] And the other pending capital projects, that totals 1.2 [01:27:55] billion. That would have that 680 million of reserves in there as well. [01:28:01] So this is just a view of it, and it shows you some of the big projects that will be [01:28:04] coming forward next year, the main terminal fire, sprinkler and smoke control system

Transcript of Study Session on Oct 08, 2019 9:00am The Port of Seattle Commission.



	would obviously be seeking authorization, as would these upgrades to a satellite transit
[01:28:16]	system. Train controls.
[01:28:19]	If I can just point out on your on your on your chart, Borgen, is that those statuses
[01:28:25]	you'll see those reflected in the non non aviation as well.
[01:28:28]	And I talked about those before.
[01:28:29]	You get more certainty as you move up into the construction phase, obviously, and you can
[01:28:33]	see that up at the top, the five six and then you have other design for than.
[01:28:38]	The pre-authorization is a 1 through 3 there.
	So we'll see that that's recurring and certainly increasing on Scott on schedule in costs
• •	as you move upward. All right.
• •	So here are the items that aren't in the capital budget that are looming out there.
	And, you know, we recognize that there will be a need to address seismic issues at the
	south satellite. We know that after the IAF opens to me, the international arrivals
• •	facility opens. We'll have significant vacant space in the basement of the south
	satellite that we want to be able to repurpose and or renovate.
	That is not yet in the budget.
• •	The C1 building is an area where we anticipate being able to expand airport dining and
	retail office space and create space for more lounges.
	We have a place holder in our budget now that is grossly insufficient.
• •	So we know there's going to be an increase coming indicated airline realignment.
	Completion of the part 150 noise remediation plan.
	We have elements that are in our budget right now, the pilot programs.
• •	But obviously there will be follow on projects to those.
	And then when we complete the asset management program, we expect to have a clearer
	picture of some of the future retail on replacement needs.
• •	We will have. And obviously there could be more sand projects in this five year period.
	So are our challenge, as you can see from this list, is to make sure that we are
	addressing sufficient resources on solving problems in our existing terminal.
• •	And if I were to go back to this slide and try to address your earlier question, you
	know, I would say that just about not every project, but almost every project impacts the
	travelers. I mean, we are making improvements, whether it's building out a new north
	satellite or whether it's even the ultimately the baggage system will it will it will help the traveling public.
	So almost all these projects are things that will will impact our our customers.
	I think the experience really is getting into the.
	Getting into the airport initially, I think the security checkpoints are perhaps the place where people are feeling the most constraint.
• •	
	And I know we've gone back to D.C.
	and we've asked for more TSA and the use of dogs and things like this.
	And I guess once you're on your way to your gate and things like that, I think I think that's maybe some relief.
	But, um, is is there specifically what what's being done to the way I get through the
	gates? Probably the checkpoint.
	One relocation is really I think we've talked about this a few months ago, the plan being
	to relocate checkpoint one, which is at the south and down to the bag claim level.
• •	It will create additional space to expand that checkpoint and provide overall capacity
	for four checkpoints.
	There'll be some refinements to the the capacity, I think, at checkpoints 3 as well and
• •	4. But that would be the probably the biggest thing that would change our capacity.
	Can I can I suggest we actually there is national security implications about how we're
	talking about screening. We've talked about this previously appropriately in executive
• •	session. We are owed an update based on a spring conversation that were supposed to
	happen in August. As a follow up with Wendy Writer about the some of the changes here.
	I'd like to move that to executive session because I have questions here, but I know
• •	there are national secured applications about it.
	In general, you're talking about having to go below downstairs to check in.
	So whatever the implications are, that would take some additional signage.
• •	Right. Yes.
	Yes, I do. To answer your question, in the next five years, you'll see project coming
	forward to address security where there's checkpoint one that Brogan spoke about,
• •	checkpoint to propose improvements there and checkpoint three.
	In terms of wayfinding, we're 80 percent complete.
[01:32:36]	I don't know where we're finding massive plan.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[01:32:37] We're going through the review right now. [01:32:40] So by next year, we'll see the completed. [01:32:42] We're finding massive planting through the terminal on the roadways and then you will, [01:32:46] and then projects followed of that. [01:32:48] Additionally, we are working and other stuff to do, like landscaping, massive planning [01:32:52] stuff. So we're working on projects that would improve the customers experience in the [01:32:57] existing facility within next five years. [01:33:00] If we talk about a sales that we're going to be doing at Checkpoint 3 as well. [01:33:04] So In-to was a part of the security checkpoint. [01:33:07] Checkpoint one does go and don't stay in the bag claim the different. [01:33:11] They have the new SSL automated screening lanes that improve the throughput for the [01:33:15] passengers. It's a fourth checkpoint through as well. [01:33:19] We'll see ourselves there. [01:33:20] So when we move forward with security, checkpoint movements will be used in the [01:33:24] technology that we are that we're accustomed to. [01:33:29] Can I just say that on the capital budget? [01:33:33] I really appreciate this slide. [01:33:34] And what I'd I think we'd all appreciate is is much more detail about these things. [01:33:39] And I appreciate that you called it a risk, but they're not really risk. [01:33:42] These are all things that are going to need to happen at some point within the next five [01:33:46] years. Correct. [01:33:49] I mean, General. Yes. Yes. [01:33:51] Right. These are recognized AIDS, the amount. [01:33:53] And I think that's a better way to put it is a recognized need. [01:33:57] It's not really a risk. [01:33:58] It's a recognized need. [01:33:59] And it feels like we're at at the edge of a cliff and we're going to have an incredible [01:34:04] amount of spending coming forward. [01:34:05] And yet it's not and we don't need to do it today, but we do need to understand at least [01:34:11] some sort of scope of magnitude of what we're looking at. [01:34:15] Okay. All right. [01:34:18] And just briefly, looking at our five year forecast. [01:34:23] Again, we're continuing with good solid results down below some of the key measures. [01:34:29] You see the CPE is arowing up to seventeen forty by 2024. [01:34:34] This is actually a little lower than our five year forecast of last year. [01:34:39] And it very much in line with the expectations of delivering on this capital program. [01:34:44] We expect the CPE to go up. [01:34:46] We're maintaining good solid debt service coverage levels. [01:34:49] And I think for me, the key thing here is that we're demonstrating that we have the [01:34:54] financial capacity to take on additional investments over and above what we have [01:35:00] currently in our capital program. [01:35:02] So I think we're in good shape. This is where we want to be. [01:35:04] And I think we will be able to address these future concerns that are recognized needs in [01:35:12] our capital program over and above what we otherwise have captured as part of the sea IP [01:35:17] reserves because there could be more. [01:35:20] And that there's there's one final slide and I'll be relatively quickly on or I'll be [01:35:24] quick on this. This has to do with the allocation of PEF seas to manage rates. [01:35:31] And this comes from a commission motion back in May of 2015 where you guided us to [01:35:36] establish the funding plan for the international arrivals facility. [01:35:41] And we use the same framework and in determining the funding plan for the north satellite [01:35:45] improvements. And basically what this slide shows is the funding plan for the IAF up in [01:35:52] the upper right and it shows that we did use cash of 200 million and 100 million of PFC [01:35:59] pay goes to sort of reduce the overall costs that would go into the rate base. [01:36:03] And then the chart on the lower right shows the impact of using PFC is on an ongoing [01:36:09] basis to pay eligible debt service. [01:36:12] And so one way of looking at this is the that the total height of the bar shows the FISA [01:36:18] rate as it would be if we did not use PFC is to pay debt service. [01:36:25] The blue bar shows kind of what we're initially targeting as the FISA rate. [01:36:29] We fully expect the FISA rate to go up. [01:36:32] We've been targeting basically being in the market for pure airports. [01:36:39] And we had a study updated earlier this year that looked at Los Angeles, San Francisco. [01:36:44] Dallas, Vancouver and Seattle is sort of key, key comparative airports.

[01:36:49] L.A. is going to be the highest and they will be approximately \$12 in 2023.

Page 23 of 28



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[01:36:55] So we kind of think that is a level at which is probably reasonable. [01:37:00] We feel with a brand new facility to be at the high end of the market is not [01:37:04] unreasonable. We just don't want to be two or three times the market rate. [01:37:08] And so I think this plan reflects the guidance you gave us a few years ago. [01:37:13] And I just wanted to report back on it. [01:37:14] And there's more details in the appendix that showed more and more more specifics on the [01:37:20] funding plan for the North satellite and the IAF and the overall allocation of PEF, CS [01:37:26] and Morgan. Thank you for calling that out. [01:37:28] That was actually going to be my question. [01:37:29] | appreciate that because I think commercial Gregorie and I were the only two that were [01:37:33] here in 2015 that said the cost of the IMF back in 2015 was substantially lower than what [01:37:40] the ultimate cost was when we passed that policy. [01:37:42] That's correct. Can distinctly remember that the original cost estimate for the I.F. [01:37:47] was \$363 million. [01:37:51] So, you know, it just sticks in my mind. [01:37:57] It was. So, you know, I just wanted while we did provide that policy guidance in 2015. [01:38:03] Things have changed in between. [01:38:05] So but I do understand the the concern about not having an F I ask that is astronomically [01:38:12] higher than other airports. [01:38:15] OK. All right. [01:38:16] That concludes our presentation. [01:38:20] We had there's quite a bit in the appendix. [01:38:23] I think we ought to have some other questions about that or just rough questions. [01:38:28] I'm sure, Gregoire. Probably should you chair Bowman? [01:38:33] I'm trying to make sure this is very helpful. [01:38:35] I know we're level setting as we do this budget. [01:38:37] We've we've done in previous briefings in June. [01:38:39] We've had some conversation about commissioner priorities in this space. [01:38:43] I do think there's a good goal if it makes sense, from maybe leaving open a week where we [01:38:49] work with commission staff to go some Q&A, because I'd like to dig a little bit deeper [01:38:52] here in customer service. [01:38:54] What do we mean by what is the user usage of our app? [01:38:57] What do we mean by signage, etc.? [01:38:59] I do think I'm trying to think of the most effective way, which is you've presented the [01:39:05] budget clearly and transparently at a level that I think is digestible. [01:39:08] I give full credit. [01:39:09] There are questions come from OK, but are we actually reducing the carbon footprint of [01:39:14] the airport? Here's my specific question. [01:39:16] Where is that in this budget? [01:39:18] And I want to build that in earlier learning last year's kind of exercise of that makes [01:39:21] sense that at the end of the day, what we're approving a budget we're not having a [01:39:24] conversation about. But my priority is how are the mom of i-Pods being used? [01:39:29] Just decide ethical and figure out the right way to do that. [01:39:34] And so my attitude is that's that's not here. [01:39:36] I have some of those questions, but I'd like to to work with the commission office to [01:39:40] document them and go back into policy Q&A process. [01:39:44] And I realize that that's going to be a burden on state, an opportunity for staff. [01:39:50] And so I wanted to just talk about how much time that might be as an investment. [01:39:53] Does that make sense? I think. [01:39:54] No, that's totally fair mean. [01:39:56] And I'll call out just one. [01:39:57] I know that we're gonna have a conversation. [01:39:59] Lance, this afternoon. [01:40:00] And I think we'll have questions about hard standing and what the impact has been on [01:40:05] passengers and what we can do to alleviate what they're going through. [01:40:10] And I don't see that reflected in here. [01:40:11] So I think those are the sorts of questions that we're gonna be having. [01:40:15] And then in the appendix, there's several things that where you really call out [01:40:18] specifically staffing, hire an airport employee experience consultant. [01:40:24] I don't need to go into the details now, but I think those are the sorts of questions [01:40:27] that we're gonna have. And Commissioner Gregor or some of the stuff that you're asking [01:40:31] for, we actually go through that when we're developing the budget to have a lot of [01:40:35] information. In fact, we asked people, okay, you're asking us for F.T.

Transcript of Study Session on Oct 08, 2019 9:00am The Port of Seattle Commission.



[01:40:28] What is this person going to do from 8:00 in the marning until 5:00 in the overlag?
[01:40:38] What is this person going to do from 8:00 in the morning until 5:00 in the evening? [01:40:42] Tell us why we should approve it.
[01:40:44] So we go through a lot of detail.
[01:40:45] So there's a lot of stuff that we have, but I don't think we could present all of that in
[01:40:49] a way for a value I have.
[01:40:50] But yeah. But if you tell us the areas that you want, we can provide a lot of detail.
[01:40:54] Lance, thank you for highlighting that because that is definitely what I meant, which is
[01:40:58] I know how vigorous of a a process that you've been developing.
[01:41:02] Let's be perfectly frank. Basically kick start from the first of the year and then we
[01:41:06] live it all year. And I know how deeply you push for it.
[01:41:10] Let me see the justification for why this is a full time FTE.
[01:41:13] Where's the volunteer opportunity? [01:41:14] What's a half FTE? Where do I share this?
[01:41:14] what's a name register where do rishare this? [01:41:16] I think we need to have a as opposed to boiling the ocean in this conversation, kind of a
[01:41:21] written Q&A response that enables us to say, you know, and I'll volunteer the probably
[01:41:26] Kappas. I probably should provide you 150 written Q&A.
[01:41:29] But somewhere in a reasonable like we know that our policy answers and we can talk about
[01:41:34] it in a digestible format because you can't you can't do that today.
[01:41:38] I will say that our budget review package, which was always a p_d_f_, not a printed
[01:41:44] document, was over a thousand pages.
[01:41:47] And this is what we reviewed as a part of this budget development process.
[01:41:51] And I also wanted to share that.
[01:41:52] We shared that with the airlines and we've met with the airlines on two separate
[01:41:58] occasions already and had the triple A C meeting.
[01:42:02] The feedback we got was actually very positive.
[01:42:05] They feel we've been very transparent. [01:42:07] We've been listening to some of their concerns.
[01:42:09] And at least the feedback that they shared with me publicly was was actually fairly
[01:42:14] positive compared to last year when it wasn't so positive.
[01:42:17] So I just wanted to share that with you.
[01:42:19] And if it's commission staff, we would be happy to share the 4000 page notebook with you
[01:42:25] all and let you go through.
[01:42:28] I'm going to jump in there. I know the commissioners would love to review that.
[01:42:31] But I think having the the specific questions or those areas that we can then provide the
[01:42:35] material, I think that worked well last year on some budget items to to go back.
[01:42:39] And I think that would be a great format for us to pass that back to all the
[01:42:43] commissioners then. And on answering those questions that we have gone through a lot of
[01:42:47] the details that you reference.
[01:42:48] Just tell us which ones you want and we can provide that information. [01:42:51] Absolutely. Commissioner Fellman, So following up on the illusion that Commissioner
[01:42:56] Gregoire used about boiling the ocean to new one for me, what would be that as it may?
[01:43:02] I'm one of the things that one of the things in follow up with that, the accounting.
[01:43:08] I we know we have these greenhouse gas reduction goals, as we note, but the sort of an
[01:43:14] annual report of where we're at.
[01:43:16] And so what these expenses are towards achieving those goals seems to be important and
[01:43:21] special and making a large financial decisions regarding like R&D and things like that
[01:43:27] will be kind of good to at this point to know.
[01:43:29] If we're falling behind or should we be making more investments and otherwise and then
[01:43:33] just to see them in the environmental remediation liability.
[01:43:36] Dr. Flug, this Thabet on in the appendix on page 32.
[01:43:39] We're basically zeroing it out.
[01:43:42] And so that's just like almost assuming there will be none. [01:43:45] And I mean, when you dig into the ground in an airport, you're almost invariably going to
[01:43:49] run into something unanticipated.
[01:43:51] And I'm just wondering, should there not be a reserve?
[01:43:53] I mean, we accomplished these other things, so we got those off the table.
[01:43:57] But it seems to me there should be something expected to go wrong.
[01:44:02] Well, I did that.
[01:44:04] The budget for that is based on the specific projects and we will use the best
[01:44:08] information we have at the time when we put together our budget.
[01:44:11] And I you know, I don't know how to address your question any other way other than to say
[01:44:16] it's going to be different every year, some years it's can be higher.
[01:44:19] Some years it's going to be lower.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[01:44:20] It just depends on. But don't we need some reserve? [01:44:22] But yeah, what if I could add to that boring and some of the areas that we have projects [01:44:26] in our era that we've already found dirt to dirt and we have cleaned it up and mitigated [01:44:31] it to the expectation is that hopefully the more we have mitigated these areas already. [01:44:36] So we're still digging. [01:44:38] Yeah, right. [01:44:39] So I mean I just seems to be rather than being surprised. [01:44:42] I mean, I bring up the fire gives fire station, but there's other places where we're [01:44:48] likely to run into expenses or things like that. [01:44:51] I'm glad that we knocked down the big stuff, but it just seems to be prudent to reserve [01:44:56] something. I agree. [01:44:59] Again, one other thing. [01:45:00] As I said in the beginning, one of the things we tried to do is to meet the budget as [01:45:03] lean as possible with not a lot of fluff in there. [01:45:06] So maybe that's one of the era we can relook at. [01:45:09] But we thought that the contingencies that we had in the budget was sufficient. [01:45:16] But we can take a look back at that. [01:45:17] We didn't want to bring a big old budget with a lot of fluff in there that asking for a [01:45:21] bunch of money that we really didn't need. [01:45:23] We would vitiate that lands, but I don't think we're not viewing environmental [01:45:26] remediation as fluff. [01:45:28] And so I think we're just anticipating, as we did even with the I.F.. [01:45:31] Right. You know, as we got deeper into the projects, unanticipated, even though we know [01:45:35] that it was a you know, the problems with that site. [01:45:38] Right. So, Morgens, that it was based on the specific projects on the areas that the [01:45:41] projects are actually in our areas that we had looked at. [01:45:44] And I don't know if you want to add to that, but those are areas that we had already [01:45:47] looked at. Maybe Arnie can add a little bit to it. [01:45:50] Just real quickly, I think there are specific rules that guide on what goes in that [01:45:56] u._r._l account. And so we'll look into that and get you an explanation as to why the [01:46:00] number is what it is. They'll be helpful. [01:46:03] Thanks. Yeah. [01:46:06] Commissioner Steinberg, I have a question, sort of a broader one regarding both the [01:46:11] operating capital budgets and revenue forecasts for the next year, five years. [01:46:19] I don't see under the risk category. [01:46:22] And we can we can have different terminology there, but I see that as financial risk [01:46:28] basically is what the intent of that is, whereas the financial risk reflected in terms of [01:46:35] potential for a for 4 recession within the next couple of years, maybe even next year for [01:46:40] all we know. How are you considering that? [01:46:43] And what how what is the sustainability of these budgets in that with regard to the [01:46:49] potential downturn in travel and revenues? [01:46:56] The way I would look at that is sort of looking back and, you know, certainly we had a [01:47:03] big recession in 2008 9. [01:47:05] You know, the Great Recession. [01:47:08] And then obviously if you went back further, you'd see 2001 and the 9 of the events of [01:47:13] 9/11. In both cases, we saw a downturn. [01:47:17] We saw almost of a very quick bounce back. [01:47:23] And so that, you know, one way that we look at that, I look at a five year forecast is, [01:47:28] is it reasonable, sort of taken as a whole. [01:47:30] I don't expect to get every year. [01:47:31] Right. But I think over that five year period, it's probably reflects a reasonable [01:47:37] outcome. There could be a downturn in there and it could be a recovery. [01:47:42] And so you we we've got, I think, some relatively modest growth rates built into that [01:47:48] forecast. We're not, you know, shooting for the moon or anything like that. [01:47:53] But we do see tremendous demand for travel in this region. [01:47:58] And the travelers that are coming through here tend to be willing and desirous of [01:48:03] spending more money. [01:48:05] And whether that's on food and beverage or whether that's parking or other services, you [01:48:10] know that this budget really reflects what we anticipate happening now. [01:48:15] Although I will I will say that we are not specifically anticipating a downturn, nor are [01:48:20] we expecting a tremendous upturn. [01:48:23] It's reflects sort of a five year forecast that we think is reasonable. [01:48:28] And if I could just add to add to that as well, we raise the question, what if there was



The Port of Seattle Commission.

[01:48:33] a recession at some point in time, whether it's next year or the year after? [01:48:36] It's really a matter of when. And that's one of the reasons we really wanted to meet the [01:48:39] budget, really mean we didn't want to have a lot of FTD I've seen in the past where you [01:48:44] have a downturn and you start laying people off, et cetera. [01:48:48] So we really didn't want to go through that also. [01:48:50] We looked at the projects, for example, that would be under construction, meaning there's [01:48:54] things coming out of the ground. [01:48:56] Those projects are not going to stop even if you have a recession, predicts that in [01:48:59] planning aren't designed, that airlines might push back and say, hey, hey, hey, let's [01:49:03] stop these because of the recession. [01:49:04] But the ones coming out of the ground, you really don't want to under other side of that [01:49:09] is you might actually want to move forward with projects during a recession because you [01:49:13] can get much better cost on those projects. [01:49:16] You can get those predict deliver deliberate at a much lower cost than it is during the [01:49:20] boom period. [01:49:21] And that might actually help the local economy or the regional economy as well. [01:49:25] So we factored in a whole bunch of stuff. [01:49:27] Also, what we have seen in the past is that during a recession, there's pushback. [01:49:31] Hey, let's stop, stop, stop. [01:49:33] And then you start recovering. [01:49:34] And then rather than trim because we've been trying to play catch up and rather than [01:49:38] catch up, we fall even further back. [01:49:40] So we really want to push on, because if you look at the recession, it's a cycle. [01:49:44] You go through the recession and then you start going back to the boom phases as well. [01:49:48] So we kind of took all of that into consideration during the during the forecast. [01:49:52] Otherwise known as a sine curve. [01:49:58] Just a quick question on the PFC. [01:50:00] It would be great to give us some background on what or not even background information [01:50:05] about what a small increase in PFC is would do to provide more revenue for us. [01:50:10] We can have talked about this for many, many, many years. [01:50:14] Every airport's in the same situation. [01:50:16] Every airline as opposed to it seems to be opposed to an increase in the PFC. [01:50:20] But we would I think let's revisit that. [01:50:22] I know that there was an effort a few years ago to build a coalition of other airports to [01:50:28] push for a PFC increase. [01:50:29] But I'm just understanding what a small increase would do to provide more revenue would [01:50:33] be fantastic. Okay. [01:50:37] So in looking further into the appendix, I see that there is a environmental and [01:50:43] sustainability budget request which includes a two hundred fifty five thousand dollars [01:50:47] for what I think are, you know. [01:50:52] PFA esses, which I think is the air. [01:50:55] Yeah. Which are the. [01:50:57] The foam. I believe. [01:50:59] Yes. And so there is an allotment for the for that clean up. [01:51:04] It's. It's for investigation. [01:51:06] We've tried to take a proactive approach to pe phos knowing how much concern it might be. [01:51:13] And so it's to continue investigation that we have already started. [01:51:18] Very good. And then I also see under the community and social responsibility side. [01:51:25] We have. I don't know whether this would be here, whether the Selkin County Fund. [01:51:34] I don't know where where that is going to be allocated or how much of the fund is being [01:51:41] budgeted for this coming year. [01:51:46] It's and it's not in the airport budget. [01:51:49] So it's a public relations. [01:51:51] So it's incorporate sort of corporate like on the corporate side. [01:51:54] Right. Any other questions? [01:52:01] No. OK, well, thank you, I know that we're gonna follow up with a lot of more just [01:52:05] written questions and again, really appreciate all the work that's been put into this. [01:52:08] We're trying to get a little bit ahead of it this year so that we have several weeks [01:52:13] before we actually adopt the budget. [01:52:16] Before we wrap up, I really just want to say thanks to bargain and his team and the [01:52:20] directors, they have thousand pages. [01:52:23] They've done recommend us amount of work to get us to where we are.

[01:52:26] So I know. Thank you. Thank you.



The Port of Seattle Commission.

- [01:52:29] Borgen in team very much.
- [01:52:30] Appreciate it. I hope it doesn't go.
- [01:52:33] I mean, I hope you're hearing this was a tremendously clear presentation at appropriate
- [01:52:37] for the level for the commission, for a very complicated project.
- [01:52:42] And so I wanted to make sure that we had the opportunity to dig into some of that

[01:52:46] thousand page document.

[01:52:47] But you've taken that and turned that into a very clear presentation.

[01:52:51] So, again, thanks. Great job.

- [01:52:53] Thank you. All right. We're going to take up the maritime capital budget, along with
- [01:52:58] operating budget this afternoon so that they're going to marry up together.
- [01:53:01] So we're still going to get our 45 minute break.
- [01:53:04] So with that, we are adjourned at eleven twelve.

END OF TRANSCRIPT